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## Motivation

Over the last two years there has been significant amount of work towards actions for multiple M2-branes.

Progress relied on the introduction of a novel algebraic structure: a 3-algebra.
[Bagger-Lambert, Gustavsson]

This is defined through

$$
\left[T^{A}, T^{B}, T^{C}\right]=f^{A B C}{ }_{D} T^{D}
$$

and satisfies the 'fundamental identity'

$$
f_{E}^{[A B C} f_{G}^{D] E F}=0
$$

BLG wrote down a real 3-algebra gauge theory in 3d with:
$\diamond$ maximal supersymmetry $(\mathcal{N}=8)$
$\diamond$ conformal invariance
$\diamond$ SO(8) R-symmetry
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But: $\exists$ only one real Euclidean 3-algebra (looks like $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{CS}$-matter), moduli space looks like exotic M-theory orbifold, Lorentzian 3-algebras have negative norm states or look too much like 3d SYM...
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$\diamond$ conformal invariance
$\diamond$ SO(8) R-symmetry
$\Rightarrow$ Right symmetries for describing M2-branes

But: $\exists$ only one real Euclidean 3-algebra (looks like $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{CS}$-matter), moduli space looks like exotic M-theory orbifold, Lorentzian 3-algebras have negative norm states or look too much like 3d SYM...
$\Rightarrow$ To date no known string theory interpretation of BLG

These ideas solidified in the ABJM proposal for bifundamental $\mathrm{U}(N) \times \mathrm{U}(N)$ Chern-Simons-matter theory with $\mathcal{N}=6$, describing N M2-branes on a $\mathbb{C}^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ M-theory singularity. [Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena]

Important developments in $\mathrm{AdS}_{4} / \mathrm{CFT}_{3} \ldots$

3-algebra description not necessary but possible. This is a complex 3-algebra [Bagger-Lambert, Schnabl-Tachikawa]

These ideas solidified in the ABJM proposal for bifundamental $\mathrm{U}(N) \times \mathrm{U}(N)$ Chern-Simons-matter theory with $\mathcal{N}=6$, describing N M 2 -branes on a $\mathbb{C}^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{k} \mathrm{M}$-theory singularity. [Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena]

Important developments in $\mathrm{AdS}_{4} / \mathrm{CFT}_{3} \ldots$

3-algebra description not necessary but possible. This is a complex 3-algebra [Bagger-Lambert, Schnabl-Tachikawa]

But what about the M5-brane??

Low-energy M5-brane dynamics governed by a theory in 6d with:
[Strominger, Witten]
$\diamond(2,0)$ supersymmetry
$\diamond$ conformal invariance
$\diamond \mathrm{SO}(5)$ R-symmetry

The $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet contains 5 scalars and a selfdual antisymmetric 3-form field strength + fermions

But it's complicated: getting Lagrangian for single M5 difficult because of selfdual three-form field strength.

Note: $\exists$ indirect ways of attacking the abelian problem
$\diamond$ Sacrificing manifest 6d Lorentz invariance
$\diamond$ Introducing auxiliary scalar field
[Aganagic-Park-Popescu-Schwarz, Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin,
Bandos et al., Belov-Moore]

Can still work at the level of susy xfms and e.o.m..

Note: $\exists$ indirect ways of attacking the abelian problem
$\diamond$ Sacrificing manifest 6d Lorentz invariance
$\diamond$ Introducing auxiliary scalar field
[Aganagic-Park-Popescu-Schwarz, Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin,
Bandos et al., Belov-Moore]

Can still work at the level of susy xfms and e.o.m..
$\Rightarrow$ Attempt a similar approach to multiple M2-branes for multiple M5-branes.
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## Conclusions

$\diamond$ We find a nonabelian $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet
$\diamond$ This 6d theory involves 3-algebras
$\diamond$ No manifest evidence of multiple M5-branes
$\diamond$ Theory has some interesting features
$\diamond$ A sector of the theory could be related to lightcone description of M5-branes

## Outline

$\diamond$ Set-up of the calculation
$\diamond$ Susy closure
$\diamond$ Spacelike reduction
$\diamond$ Null reduction
$\diamond 5 \mathrm{~d}$ SYM $\Leftrightarrow(2,0)$
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## Set-up of the calculation

The steps that we will follow are:
$\diamond$ Start with the susy transformations for the abelian M5-brane
$\diamond$ Generalise this to allow for nonabelian fields and interactions
$\diamond$ Investigate the closure of the susy algebra
$\diamond$ Obtain e.o.m. and constraints
$\diamond$ Interpret the result

The susy transformations for the free $6 \mathbf{d}(2,0)$ tensor multiplet are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta X^{I} & =i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma^{I} \Psi \\
\delta \Psi & =\Gamma^{\mu} \Gamma^{I} \partial_{\mu} X^{I} \epsilon+\frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\mu \nu \lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda} \epsilon \\
\delta H_{\mu \nu \lambda} & =3 i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_{[\mu \nu} \partial_{\lambda]} \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\Gamma_{012345} \epsilon=\epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \Gamma_{012345} \Psi=-\Psi
$$

This algebra closes on-shell up to translations, with e.o.m.

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} X^{I}=\Gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi=\partial_{[\mu} H_{\nu \lambda \rho]}=0
$$

Make this 'nonabelian': Assume fields take values in some vector space with basis $T^{A}$ such that $X^{I}=X_{A}^{I} T^{A}$.

Promote the derivatives to covariant derivatives

$$
D_{\mu} X_{A}^{I}=\partial_{\mu} X_{A}^{I}-\tilde{A}_{\mu}^{B}{ }_{A} X_{B}^{I}
$$

with $\tilde{A}_{\mu}^{B}{ }_{A}$ a new gauge field. $\exists$ an associated gauge symmetry.

Propose a nonabelian ansatz analogous to that of the M2-brane.

Consider:
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\begin{aligned}
\delta X^{I} & =i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma^{I} \Psi \\
\delta \Psi & =\Gamma^{\mu} \Gamma^{I} \partial_{\mu} X^{I} \epsilon+\frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu \nu \lambda} H^{\mu \nu \lambda} \epsilon \\
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\end{aligned}
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Consider:

\[

\]

Here $f^{C D B}{ }_{A}, g^{C D B}{ }_{A}$ and $h^{C D B}{ }_{A}$ are some objects with properties to be determined.

Consider:

\[

\]

Here $f^{C D B}{ }_{A}, g^{C D B}{ }_{A}$ and $h^{C D B}{ }_{A}$ are some objects with properties to be determined.

Consistency of these transformations with respect to their scaling dimensions gives

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
{[H]=[X]+1,} & {[\tilde{A}]=1,} & {[C]=1-[X]} \\
{[\epsilon]=-\frac{1}{2},} & {[\Psi]=[X]+\frac{1}{2},} & {[X]}
\end{array}
$$

The assignments are all related to the choice of $[X]$. For the canonical choice $[X]=2$ we have that $[C]=-1$.

## Susy closure

We find that the susy algebra closes on-shell up to a translation and a gauge transformation, subject to the constraints:

$$
g^{A B C}{ }_{D}=h^{A B C}{ }_{D}=f^{A B C}{ }_{D}=f^{[A B C]}{ }_{D}
$$

and

$$
f^{[A B C}{ }_{E} f^{D] E F}{ }_{G}=0
$$

This is the fundamental identity for real 3-algebras (the $\mathcal{N}=8$ 3-algebras in 3d theories).
E.o.m. for $X_{A}^{I}$ :
$D^{2} X^{I}=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{C} C_{B}^{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}+C_{B}^{\nu} C_{\nu G} X_{C}^{J} X_{E}^{J} X_{F}^{I} f^{E F G}{ }_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}$
E.o.m. for $\Psi_{A}$ :

$$
\Gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \Psi_{A}+X_{C}^{I} C_{B}^{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{D} f^{C D B}=0
$$

E.o.m. for $H_{\mu \nu \lambda A}$ :

$$
D_{[\mu} H_{\nu \lambda \rho]}=-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \rho \sigma \tau} C_{B}^{\sigma} f_{A}^{C D B}\left(X_{C}^{I} D^{\tau} X_{D}^{I}+\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{C} \Gamma^{\tau} \Psi_{D}\right)
$$

E.o.m for $\tilde{A}_{\mu B}^{A}$ :

$$
\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu A}^{B}=C_{C}^{\lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda D} f_{A}^{B D C}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ No new d.o.f. are introduced on-shell

Constraints on $C_{A}^{\mu}$ :

$$
D_{\nu} C_{A}^{\mu}=0, \quad C_{B}^{\lambda} C_{C}^{\rho} f_{A}^{C D B}=0
$$

and

$$
\Rightarrow C_{C}^{\rho} D_{\rho}\left\{X_{D}^{I}, \Psi_{D}, H_{\mu \nu \lambda D}\right\} f_{A}^{C D B}=0 \Leftarrow
$$

## Summary thus far

$\diamond$ Wrote ansatz for susy xfms of nonabelian $(2,0)$ theory in 6d
$\diamond$ This involved a new nondynamical gauge field $\tilde{A}_{\mu B}^{A}$
$\diamond$ The gauge symmetry was associated to a 3-algebra
$\diamond$ Also introduced an auxiliary vector field $C_{A}^{\mu}$
$\diamond$ Obtained e.o.m and constraints that define the theory
$\diamond$ Proceed to study the interpretation

## 3-algebra 101

The structure constants are those of a real 3-algebra. Endow it with a metric

$$
h^{A B}=\left(T^{A}, T^{B}\right)
$$

$\exists$ two kinds of real 3-algebras (depending on signature):
$\diamond$ The Euclidean $\mathcal{A}_{4}$-algebra, with $f^{A B C D}=\epsilon^{A B C D}$
[Papadopoulos, Gauntlett-Gutowski]
$\diamond$ The Lorentzian algebras
[Gomis-Milanesi-Russo, Benvenuti-Rodríguez-Gómez-Tonni-Verlinde, Ho-Imamura-Matsuo]

Lorentzian 3-algebras: start with ordinary Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and add two lightlike generators $T^{ \pm}$such that $A=+,-, a, b, \ldots$ The structure constants are given by

$$
f^{A B C}{ }_{D} \rightarrow f_{c}^{+a b}=f_{c}^{a b}, f_{-}^{a b c}=f^{a b c}
$$

The metric is given by

$$
h_{A B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & h_{\mathcal{G}} & \\
0 & 0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Spacelike reduction

Use the Lorentzian 3-algebra and look for vacua of the theory when $\mathcal{G}=\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ :

$$
X_{A}^{I} \rightarrow X_{a}^{I}, X_{ \pm}^{I}
$$

Get two abelian $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets $\left(X_{ \pm}^{I}, \Psi_{ \pm}, H_{\mu \nu \lambda \pm}\right)$
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Next, look at nonabelian piece:
$\Rightarrow$ Expand around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\lambda}\right\rangle=g \delta_{5}^{\lambda} \delta_{A}^{+}$

We find:
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We find:

$$
\diamond \tilde{F}_{\mu \nu A}^{B}=C_{C}^{\lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda D} f^{B D C}{ }_{A} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta a}^{b}=g H_{\alpha \beta 5 d} f^{b d}{ }_{a}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ All other components give flat connections
$\Rightarrow$ Make assumption that they have trivial topology

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\diamond \quad D_{\nu} C_{A}^{\mu}=0 & \Longrightarrow & \partial_{\nu} g=0 \\
\diamond C_{C}^{\rho} D_{\rho} X_{D}^{I} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}=0 & \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{5} X_{a}^{I}=0 \\
\Rightarrow \text { Nonabelian physics is five-dimensional }
\end{array}
$$

We find:
$\diamond \tilde{F}_{\mu \nu A}^{B}=C_{C}^{\lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda D} f^{B D C}{ }_{A} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta a}^{b}=g H_{\alpha \beta 5{ }_{d}} f^{b d}{ }_{a}$
$\Rightarrow$ All other components give flat connections
$\Rightarrow$ Make assumption that they have trivial topology
$\diamond \quad D_{\nu} C_{A}^{\mu}=0$
$\Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{\nu} g=0$
$\diamond C_{C}^{\rho} D_{\rho} X_{D}^{I} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}=0$
$\Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{5} X_{a}^{I}=0$
$\Rightarrow$ Nonabelian physics is five-dimensional
$\diamond g$ is constant and has scaling dimension -1
$\Rightarrow g^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has correct scaling dimension for $g_{Y M}$ in 5 d .

## Make identifications:

$$
g=g_{Y M}^{2}, \quad H_{\alpha \beta 5}^{a}=\frac{1}{g_{Y M}^{2}} F_{\alpha \beta}^{a}
$$

...and recover e.o.m., Bianchi identity and susy xfms of five-dimensional $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ SYM theory.
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g=g_{Y M}^{2}, \quad H_{\alpha \beta 5}^{a}=\frac{1}{g_{Y M}^{2}} F_{\alpha \beta}^{a}
$$

...and recover e.o.m., Bianchi identity and susy xfms of five-dimensional $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ SYM theory.
$\Rightarrow$ Lorentzian theory expanded around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\lambda}\right\rangle=g \delta_{5}^{\lambda} \delta_{A}^{+}$is 5 d
SYM along with two 6d free $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets.

Make identifications:

$$
g=g_{Y M}^{2}, \quad H_{\alpha \beta 5}^{a}=\frac{1}{g_{Y M}^{2}} F_{\alpha \beta}^{a}
$$

...and recover e.o.m., Bianchi identity and susy xfms of
five-dimensional $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ SYM theory.
$\Rightarrow$ Lorentzian theory expanded around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\lambda}\right\rangle=g \delta_{5}^{\lambda} \delta_{A}^{+}$is 5 d
SYM along with two 6d free $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets.

The off-shell $\mathrm{SO}(5,1)$ Lorentz and conformal symmetries are spontaneously broken to $\mathrm{SO}(4,1)$ Lorentz invariance.

Very similar to what happened for Lorentzian M2-brane theories in relation to D2-branes. [Gomis-Rodríguez-Gómez-Van Raamsdonk-Verlinde, Ezhuthachan-Mukhi-CP]

In that case, the Lorentzian BLG theory in 3d expanded around generic vacua was shown to be equivalent to 3d SYM. The off-shell $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ R-symmetry and conformal invariance are spontaneously broken to $\mathrm{SO}(7) \mathrm{R}$-symmetry.

## Aside: Try and introduce a field $B_{\mu \nu}{ }_{A}$ such that
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For the nonabelian fields we have

$$
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Aside: Try and introduce a field $B_{\mu \nu}{ }_{A}$ such that
$H_{\mu \nu \lambda A}=3 D_{[\mu} B_{\nu \lambda]}$, since for abelian sector one has locally $H_{\mu \nu \lambda \pm}=3 \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu \lambda]} \pm$

For the nonabelian fields we have

$$
\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu}{ }^{B}{ }_{A}=C_{C}^{\lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A} \quad \Longrightarrow \tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{b}{ }_{a}=2 g_{Y M}^{2} \tilde{D}_{[\alpha} B_{\beta] 5}{ }_{c} f^{c b}{ }_{a}
$$

and compare with

$$
\tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta b}^{a}=\partial_{\beta} \tilde{A}_{\alpha b}^{a}-\partial_{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{\beta b}^{a}-\tilde{A}_{\alpha c}^{a} \tilde{A}_{\beta b}^{c}+\tilde{A}_{\beta c}^{a} \tilde{A}_{\alpha b}^{c}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha} B_{\beta 5 a}=\partial_{\alpha} B_{\beta 5 a}-\tilde{A}_{\alpha}{ }_{a}^{b} B_{\beta 5 b}
$$

Aside: Try and introduce a field $B_{\mu \nu}{ }_{A}$ such that
$H_{\mu \nu \lambda A}=3 D_{[\mu} B_{\nu \lambda]}$, since for abelian sector one has locally $H_{\mu \nu \lambda \pm}=3 \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu \lambda]} \pm$

For the nonabelian fields we have

$$
\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu}{ }^{B}{ }_{A}=C_{C}^{\lambda} H_{\mu \nu \lambda D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A} \quad \Longrightarrow \tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{b}{ }_{a}=2 g_{Y M}^{2} \tilde{D}_{[\alpha} B_{\beta] 5}{ }_{c} f^{c b}{ }_{a}
$$

and compare with

$$
\tilde{F}_{\alpha \beta b}^{a}=\partial_{\beta} \tilde{A}_{\alpha b}^{a}-\partial_{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{\beta b}^{a}-\tilde{A}_{\alpha c}^{a} \tilde{A}_{\beta b}^{c}+\tilde{A}_{\beta c}^{a} \tilde{A}_{\alpha b}^{c}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha} B_{\beta 5 a}=\partial_{\alpha} B_{\beta 5 a}-\tilde{A}_{\alpha}{ }_{a}^{b} B_{\beta 5 b}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ It doesn't work and one can't have $H_{\mu \nu \lambda A}=3 D_{[\mu} B_{\nu \lambda] ~}$

## Euclidean case

What about the Euclidean 3-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ ? This was the example that was genuinely different to SYM in 3d and led to ABJM.

## Euclidean case

What about the Euclidean 3-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ ? This was the example that was genuinely different to SYM in 3d and led to ABJM.
$\Rightarrow$ In 6d, Lorentzian and Euclidean cases not dramatically different:

Set $f^{A B C D}=\epsilon^{A B C D} \rightarrow \epsilon^{a b c 4} \equiv \epsilon^{a b c} \in \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and expand theory around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\lambda}\right\rangle=g \delta_{5}^{\lambda} \delta_{A}^{4}$

$$
X_{A}^{I} \rightarrow X_{a}^{I}, X_{4}^{I}
$$

Get a single free (2,0) tensor multiplet plus $\mathrm{SU}(2) 5 \mathrm{~d}$ SYM

## Null Reduction

One could also consider 6d coordinates $x^{\mu}=\left(u, v, x^{i}\right)$ where
$u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{0}-x^{5}\right), v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{0}+x^{5}\right)$ and $i=1,2,3,4$.

Expand around

$$
\left\langle C_{A}^{\mu}\right\rangle=g \delta_{v}^{\mu} \delta_{A}^{+}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Abelian sector again consists of two 6-dimensional $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets.
$\Rightarrow$ Nonabelian sector is a susy system in effectively 4 space and 1 null dimensions with 16 susies and $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ R-symmetry.

We now have

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{2} X_{A}^{I}=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{C} C_{B}^{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}+C_{B}^{\nu} C_{\nu G} X_{C}^{J} X_{E}^{J} X_{F}^{I} f^{E F G}{ }_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A} \\
\Longrightarrow \quad D^{2} X_{a}^{I}=\frac{i g}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{c} \Gamma_{v} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{d} f^{c d}{ }_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
C_{C}^{\rho} D_{\rho} X_{D}^{I} f_{A}^{C D B}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{v} X_{a}^{I}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Note that term proportional to scalar potential absent in scalar e.o.m.

We now have

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{2} X_{A}^{I}=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{C} C_{B}^{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A}+C_{B}^{\nu} C_{\nu G} X_{C}^{J} X_{E}^{J} X_{F}^{I} f^{E F G}{ }_{D} f^{C D B}{ }_{A} \\
\Longrightarrow \quad D^{2} X_{a}^{I}=\frac{i g}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{c} \Gamma_{v} \Gamma^{I} \Psi_{d} f^{c d}{ }_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
C_{C}^{\rho} D_{\rho} X_{D}^{I} f_{A}^{C D B}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_{v} X_{a}^{I}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Note that term proportional to scalar potential absent in scalar e.o.m.
$\Rightarrow$ Tempting to speculate that this may be related to a lightcone formulation for M5-branes

## BPS solutions in null reduction

$\Rightarrow$ Abelian solutions: Right-moving ( $\partial_{v} X_{a}^{I}=0$ ) modes of selfdual strings and their 'neutral string' generalisations [Howe-Lambert-West, Gauntlett-Lambert-West]

Selfdual strings: are $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS solutions describing the $\mathrm{M} 2 \perp \mathrm{M} 5$ intersection with

$$
H_{u v i}=\partial_{i} X^{6}, \quad \partial^{i} \partial_{i} X^{6}=0
$$

Neutral strings: are instanton-like configurations on the relative transverse M5-brane directions. They have zero $H$-charge

$$
H_{u i j}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} H_{u k l}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Nonabelian solutions: We obtain $\frac{1}{4}$-BPS solutions

$$
H_{u v i a}=D_{i} X_{a}^{6}, \quad H_{u i j a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} H_{u k l a} \quad D^{i} D_{i} X_{a}^{6}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Nonabelian solutions: We obtain $\frac{1}{4}$-BPS solutions

$$
H_{u v i a}=D_{i} X_{a}^{6}, \quad H_{u i j a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} H_{u k l a} \quad D^{i} D_{i} X_{a}^{6}=0
$$

M-theory version of 'dyonic instantons' in maximally Higgsed phase of 5d SYM: $\mathrm{U}(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)^{N}$
[Lambert-Tong]

$$
E_{i a}=D_{i} X_{a}^{6}, \quad F_{i j a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} F_{k l a} \quad D^{i} D_{i} X_{a}^{6}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Nonabelian solutions: We obtain $\frac{1}{4}$-BPS solutions

$$
H_{u v i a}=D_{i} X_{a}^{6}, \quad H_{u i j a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} H_{u k l a} \quad D^{i} D_{i} X_{a}^{6}=0
$$

M-theory version of 'dyonic instantons' in maximally Higgsed phase of 5d SYM: $\mathrm{U}(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)^{N}$
[Lambert-Tong]

$$
E_{i a}=D_{i} X_{a}^{6}, \quad F_{i j a}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k l} F_{k l a} \quad D^{i} D_{i} X_{a}^{6}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Right-movers ( $\partial_{v} X_{a}^{I}=0$ ) of lightlike 'dyonic instanton' strings describing 'W-boson' M2's stretched between multiple M5's in the maximally Higgsed phase...

## Comments on 5d SYM/(2,0)

From String Theory point of view relation between D4- and M5-brane theories given by compactification on $S^{1}$.

In that sense the strong-coupling dynamics of D4-theory should be encoded in M5-theory.
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In that sense the strong-coupling dynamics of D4-theory should be encoded in M5-theory.

From the gauge theory point of view this looks far from trivial:
$\diamond 5 d$ SYM has a UV fixed-point which should correspond to the $(2,0)$ theory
$\diamond$ It is naïvely non-renormalisable and as such new d.o.f. should appear at some scale
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String Theory input: SYM instantons (BPS particles) are candidates for the above. Just D0's in the D4 worldvolume.

These become light at strong coupling and should give the missing momentum in the extra dimension (same as for D0-branes in M-theory) [Rozali, Berkooz-Rozali-Seiberg]
$\Rightarrow$ Technical hurdle in making this precise: instanton zero mode quantisation leads to continuous spectrum...
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But then no new d.o.f. are needed in 5d SYM! Its strong coupling limit would give the $(2,0)$ theory.
$\Rightarrow$ The fact that we found no momentum in fifth direction is compatible with this statement
$\Rightarrow$ Implications for renormalisability of 5d SYM: Should be UV finite and well defined w/out additional d.o.f.!

Support for this conjecture comes from the fact that it is finite up to 5 loops [Bern-Dixon-Dunbar-Grant-Perelstein-Rozowsky]

Would be interesting to see whether our formulation could shed some light in any of these directions.

## Summary

$\diamond$ Starting from abelian M5-brane susy transformations, we constructed a nonabelian $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet
$\diamond$ We recovered the presence of 3-algebras in this 6d theory
$\diamond$ Around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\mu}\right\rangle=g \delta_{5}^{\mu} \delta_{A}^{+}$physics were 5d SYM plus free 6d abelian $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets
$\diamond$ Around $\left\langle C_{A}^{\mu}\right\rangle=g \delta_{v}^{\mu} \delta_{A}^{+}$physics were 4 space, 1 null direction susy system plus 6d abelian $(2,0)$ tensor multiplets
$\diamond$ We found BPS solutions corresponding to the right-moving sector of lightlike 'dyonic instanton' strings and having interpretation as M2-branes suspended between parallel M5-branes
$\diamond$ Although the M-theory interpretation of our $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet is unclear, interesting to see these solutions arise
$\diamond$ Due to its potential connection with multiple M5-branes and UV finiteness of 5d SYM, this system warrants further investigation

