#### Axion-mediated dark matter and Higgs diphoton signal

Hyun Min Lee (KIAS)

Refs: HML, M. Park, W. Park, PRD86 (2012), 103502 & 1209.1955 [hep-ph] (to appear in JHEP).

Particle Physics and Cosmology 2012 November 5, 2012

2012년 11월 5일 월요일

## Outline

- Dark matter and Higgs boson
- Dark matter for Fermi gamma-ray line
- Extra leptons and Higgs diphoton signal
- Conclusions

# Fermi gamma-ray line



 Fermi Large Area Telescope: gammaray line from galactic center peaked at I30 GeV. [C.Weniger (2012)]



Signal of Dark Matter annihilating into photons ?

# Dark matter for Y-ray line Fermi gamma-ray line needs a large cross section for dark matter annihilation to photon(s).



DM interpretation:  $m_X \approx 130 \,\text{GeV}, \ \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.3 - 2.3 \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} (4.6\sigma).$ "Einasto" "NFW" :"4-8 % Branching fraction" of thermal cross section

2012년 11월 5일 월요일

# Y-ray line constraints

- Two years of data from Fermi LAT 20° x 20°
- 95% CL limits on DM annihilation cross sections



For  $M_{\chi} \simeq 130 \,\text{GeV}$ , limits close to  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-27} \,\text{cm}^3 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ 

# Discovery of I25GeV boson

Evidences for Higgs boson at the LHC, eventually 48 years after Higgs proposed.



• CMS:  $5.0\sigma \text{ at } m_{H} = 125 \text{ GeV} \sim 125 \text{ m}_{P}$ 

• ATLAS:  $5.9\sigma \text{ at } m_{H} = 126.5 \text{ GeV}$ 

## Force of the I25GeV boson



Overall signal strengths are consistent with the SM Higgs but there is an excess in Higgs-to-diphoton channel. CMS:  $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.6 \pm 0.4$  ATLAS:  $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.8 \pm 0.5$ 

# Model for DM & Higgs



- We assume Fermi gamma-ray line is a dark matter signal.
- We assume LHC diphoton signal is due to the SM Higgs.
- We propose a DM model for explaining both Fermi gamma-ray line and Higgs diphoton rate.

# Dark matter for Fermi gamma-ray line

## Bounds on continuum

- Fermi dwarf galaxies, Antiproton from PAMELA, etc.
- Line + shape of the continuum spectrum:

[T. Cohen et al (2012); Buchmuller, Garny (2012)]





# Effective theory for DM

[Rajaraman, Tait, Whiteson (2012)]

- Unknown DM interactions can be parametrized by effective operators.
- Effective operators for DM annihilations:
- Scalar DM:  $\begin{cases} B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}, W^{a}_{\mu\nu}W^{a\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}\tilde{B}^{\mu\nu}, W^{a}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} \end{cases} \times X^{2} \\ \bullet \text{ Fermion DM: } & \bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi B_{\mu\nu} \text{ and } \bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \\ & \left\{ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}, W^{a}_{\mu\nu}W^{a\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}\tilde{B}^{\mu\nu}, W^{a}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{W}^{a\mu\nu} \right\} \times \{\bar{\chi}\chi, \bar{\chi}\gamma^{5}\chi\} \\ \text{``Dirac'' } & \left\{ B_{\mu\alpha}\tilde{B}^{\alpha\nu}, W^{a}_{\mu\alpha}\tilde{W}^{a\alpha\nu} \right\} \left\{ B_{\mu\nu}|\Phi|^{2}, \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}|\Phi|^{2}, \Phi^{\dagger}W^{a}_{\mu\nu}T^{a}\Phi, \Phi^{\dagger}\tilde{W}^{a}_{\mu\nu}T^{a}\Phi \right\} \times \bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\chi \\ \bullet \text{ But, EFT does not capture a resonance effect or fix} \end{cases}$ 
  - the ratio of two lines to one line.

# Models for gamma-ray line

#### Dark matter annihilation













#### Dark matter decay





#### See talk by J.C. Park.

2012년 11월 5일 월요일

## Photons from axion

 Neutral pion, a pseudo-Goldstone boson of QCD, decays 98.8% into two photons by EM anomalies.



How about "axion" as DM mediator from new global symmetry in hidden sector ?



cf. Z' mediator: [Jackson et al (2009); Dudas et al (2012)]

## Fermion DM + axion

[HML, Park, Park (2012)]

 Consider the effective axion interactions to a Dirac fermion DM and EW gauge bosons,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{\lambda_{\chi}}{\sqrt{2}} a \,\bar{\chi}\gamma^5 \chi + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{c_i \alpha_i}{8\pi f_a} \, a \, F^i_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{i\mu\nu}.$$

• DM annihilation cross section into a photon pair:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |\lambda_{\chi}|^2 |c_{\gamma\gamma}|^2 \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(4M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{16} \frac{16M_{\chi}^4}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^2}{(16M_{\chi}^2 - m_a^2)^2 + m_a^2 \Gamma_a^2}}, \qquad c_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{(c_1 - c_2)^$$

Resonance effect near  $m_a \sim 2M_{\chi}$ enhances the cross section.



 $(c_2)\alpha$ 

# Two Y-ray lines



• Two Y-ray lines:  $E_{\gamma} = M_{\chi}, \quad E_{\gamma} = M_{\chi} \left( 1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{4M_{\chi}^2} \right).$ 

 $M_{\chi} = 130 \,\mathrm{GeV},$  another peak at 114 GeV.





[Rajaraman et al (2012)]

#### **Axion-partner mediation**

- CP-even scalar partner (from S=s+ia) opens extra p-wave channels, determining the relic density.
- Directly detectable by XENON/7 & LHC.



# Extra leptons and Higgs diphoton signal

## Higgs diphoton rate



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}: \quad \mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i} \frac{b_i e^2}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_i^2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \Big( -7 + \frac{4}{3} N_c Q_t^2 \Big) \frac{h}{v} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

"destructive interference"

• New charged fermion can enhance diphoton rate:  $\sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \sum_{j$ 

• Higgs diphoton rate:

 $\Gamma_{h \to \gamma \gamma} = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_h^3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^3} \Big| A_1(\tau_W) + N_c Q_t^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_t) + \frac{c_f v^2}{M m_f} A_{1/2}(\tau_f) \Big|^2 - 8.32 \qquad |.84 \qquad \text{new fermion}$ For  $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 1.7$ , M = v,  $m_f = 100 \,\text{GeV}$ :  $c_f \simeq -3.27$ 

# Leptons shed light !

[HML, M. Park, W. Park (2012)]

#### • Higgs couplings need two vector-like leptons:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i} \frac{b_i e^2}{16\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_i^2}$$

$$m_{1,2}(h) = m_0 \mp |\lambda_f| h.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\alpha |\lambda_f|}{6\pi} \Big( \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} \Big) h F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}.$$

"constructive interference"

#### Leptons couple to the axion to generate anomalies:

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,\text{eff}} = \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{c_i \alpha_i}{8\pi v_s} \, a F^i_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{i\mu\nu}$$

$$c_1 = \text{Tr}(q_{\text{PQ}}Y^2), \ c_2 = \text{Tr}(q_{\text{PQ}}l(r)).$$

 Leptons shed light: no change in Higgs production; no DM annihilation to gluon.

#### PQ symmetry for extra leptons

- Extra leptons with PQ charges acquire masses from U(1)<sub>PQ</sub> breaking (i.e. VEV of singlet S=s+ia).
- PQ-invariant potential respects extra  $U(I)_{H}$ :

 $V = \mu_1^2 |H_d|^2 + \mu_2^2 |H_u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 |H_d|^4 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 |H_u|^4 + \lambda_3 |H_u|^2 |H_d|^2 + \lambda_4 |H_u H_d|^2 + \lambda_5 |S|^4 + m_S^2 |S|^2 + \lambda_{H_u S} |S|^2 |H_u|^2 + \lambda_{H_d S} |S|^2 |H_d|^2$ 

• PQ & U(I)<sub>H</sub> breaking soft masses lift two massless axions: "singlet axion" becomes DM mediator.  $\Delta V = \frac{1}{2}m_S'^2S^2 - \mu_3^2H_uH_d + h.c.$ 

High-scale PQ breaking can generate them:  $\frac{1}{M_P^2} \Phi_1^4 S^2 + \frac{1}{M_P^2} \Phi_2^4 H_u H_d, \quad \langle \Phi_1 \rangle \sim \langle \Phi_2 \rangle \sim 10^{10} \,\text{GeV}.$ 

#### Models with extra leptons

Minimal content for Higgs couplings:
 EW doublet + "singlet" (I,II); EW doublet + "triplet" (III)

• Model: 
$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \lambda_{\chi} S \chi \tilde{\chi} + \lambda_l S l_4 \tilde{l}_4 + \lambda_e S e_4^c \tilde{e}_4^c + y_l H_d l_4 e_4^c - \tilde{y}_l H_u \tilde{l}_4 \tilde{e}_4^c + \text{h.c.}$$

- Model :  $-\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{\chi} S \chi \tilde{\chi} + \lambda_l S l_4 \tilde{l}_4 + m_e e_4^c \tilde{e}_4^c + y_l H_d l_4 e_4^c \tilde{y}_l H_u \tilde{l}_4 \tilde{e}_4^c + h.c.$
- Model III: Similar to Model I but

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \dots + y_l H_d l_4 T - \tilde{y}_l H_u \tilde{l}_4 T + \text{h.c.}$$

	Model I	Model II	Model III
$(c_1, c_2)$	(3, 1)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)
$\operatorname{Br}(\bar{\chi}\chi \to \gamma\gamma)$	40%	14%	6.5%
$\operatorname{Br}(\bar{\chi}\chi \to WW)$	44%	62%	65%
$\operatorname{Br}(\bar{\chi}\chi \to ZZ)$	16%	16%	15%
(r, R)	$(1.15 \times 10^{-3}, 0.56)$	(0.27, 1.77)	(1.01, 2.51)

$$r \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle_{Z\gamma} / (2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma})$$

 $R \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle_{WW} / (2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma} + \langle \sigma v \rangle_{Z\gamma})$ 

#### Fermi gamma-ray line explained by 130 GeV DM in all models.

#### Mass dependent ann. (Model I)



$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{|\lambda_{\chi}|^2 \alpha^2}{512\pi^3} \frac{s^2}{(s - m_a^2)^2 + \Gamma_a^2 m_a^2} \left| \frac{\lambda_1 A_1(\tau_1)}{m_{f_1}} + \frac{\lambda_2 A_2(\tau_2)}{m_{f_2}} \right|^2, \ A_1(x) = x \arcsin^2(1/\sqrt{x})$$

• Large lepton mixing changes the branching fractions significantly for  $m_{f_1} < 200 \,\text{GeV}$ .

2012년 11월 5일 월요일

## Resonant annihilation



$$R_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 1.4$$

Consistent with I TeV cutoff, EWPD @ 95%

 DM annihilation cross section into a photon pair is obtained for

 $|m_a - 2m_{\chi}| \lesssim 10 \,\text{GeV}$  for  $\lambda_{\chi} \lesssim 0.8$ .

#### Model constraints





- Assume that the SM Higgs mixes with others little.
- EWPT(68,95%), Perturbativity; Vacuum stability bound (triplet model: more stringent).

• No tree-level DM annihilation:  $m_{f_1} > 130 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ .  $R_{\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 1.5 \,(1.4)$ 

#### Model predictions

	Model I	Model II	Model III
$(c_1, c_2)$	(3, 1)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)
$\operatorname{Br}(\bar{\chi}\chi \to \gamma\gamma)$	$\gtrsim 40\%$	$\gtrsim 14\%$	$\gtrsim 6\%$
$R_{\gamma\gamma}$	$\lesssim 1.5$	$\lesssim 1.5$	$\lesssim 1.4$

- Singlet models predict larger Higgs diphoton rate but require extra annihilation channels.
- Triplet model leads to smaller Higgs diphoton rate but there is no need for extra annihilations.
- Model predictions are generic for other axionmediated models. [Box-shaped gamma-ray: Fan, Reece (2012)]

# Stable leptons

- Lighter charged lepton is the lightest among extra leptons and would be stable.
- It is strongly constrained by Big Bang Nucleosynthesis and tracker search at the LHC.



 $m_{\tilde{\tau}} > 223 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

## Bounds on leptons

- Extra charged lepton can decay by mixing with I) the SM charged lepton or 2) extra singlet neutrino.
- 1) "Lepton flavor violation" constrains the mixing to  $|U_{iL_1}| \lesssim 0.01$ : prompt decay, small enough not to exceed the 2-photon DM ann. cross section.
- 2) "Continuum photon" constraint leads to mixing  $\delta \leq 0.06$ .



 $Br(L_1 \rightarrow Z+I) \approx 100\%$ , 70% efficiency times acceptance m<sub>L1</sub>=100-120 GeV could be already excluded by a single channel 4*I*.

2012년 11월 5일 월요일

#### Conclusions

- In the singlet fermion dark matter model with axion mediator, electroweak anomalies lead to the photon line consistent with Fermi LAT data.
- Models of extra leptons determine the branching fraction of Fermi photons and also enhance the Higgs diphoton rate.
- Suppression of tree-level DM ann. channel constrains the Higgs diphoton rate.
- Decaying charged lepton could be probed at the LHC.