Phenomenology of the Higgs Triplet Model with the mass difference at the LHC

Kei YAGYU (Univ. of Toyama)

M. Aoki, S. Kanemura, KY, arXiv: 1110.4625 [hep-ph]

18, November 2011 KIAS Phenomenology Workshop

Contents

- Introduction
- Generation mechanisms for neutrino masses
- The Higgs Triplet Model
 - Decay
 - Production
 - LHC phenomenology
- Summary

Introduction

Higgs sector is unknown

- Minimal ? or Non minimal ?
- Higgs boson search is starting at LHC
- Some new physics models predict extended Higgs models



Generation mechanisms for neutrino masses

Majorana masses of neutrinos are given by the dimension 5 operator, where 2 units of lepton number are broken.



There are scenarios, where neutrino masses generate through dynamics of **extended Higgs models**.

Ex. 1 The type II seesaw model (at the tree level)

Ex. 2 Radiative seesaw models (at the loop level)

Cheng, Li (1980); Schechter, Valle, (1980); Magg, Wetterich, (1980); Lazarides, Shafi, Wetterich, (1981); Mohapatra, Senjanovic, (1981).

Zee, (1980); Zee, (1986); Babu, (1988); Krauss, Nasri, Trodden, (2003); Ma, (2006); Aoki, Kanemura, Seto, (2009).

Generation mechanisms for neutrino masses

Majorana masses of neutrinos are given by the dimension 5 operator, where 2 units of lepton number are broken.



There are scenarios, where neutrino masses generate through dynamics of **extended Higgs models**.

Ex. 1 The type II seesaw model (at the tree level)

Ex. 2 Radiative seesaw models (at the loop level)

Cheng, Li (1980); Schechter, Valle, (1980); Magg, Wetterich, (1980); Lazarides, Shafi, Wetterich, (1981); Mohapatra, Senjanovic, (1981).

Zee, (1980); Zee, (1986); Babu, (1988); Krauss, Nasri, Trodden, (2003); Ma, (2006); Aoki, Kanemura, Seto, (2009).

Type II seesaw model (Higgs Triplet Model)

The Higgs triplet field Δ (Y = 1) is added to the SM.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{typeII}} = h_{ij} \overline{L_L^{ci}} \cdot \Delta L_L^j + \mu \Phi \cdot \Delta^\dagger \Phi + \cdots$$

	$SU(3)_c$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_L$
Φ	1	2	+1/2	0
Δ	1	3	+1	2



The Higgs Triplet Model (HTM)

The Higgs potential

$$Y = m^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + M^2 \text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \left[\mu \Phi^T i \tau_2 \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi + \text{h.c.} \right]$$
$$+ \lambda_1 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \left[\text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \right]^2 + \lambda_3 \text{Tr}[(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^2]$$
$$+ \lambda_4 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_5 \Phi^{\dagger} \Delta \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi.$$

$$egin{aligned} \Phi &= \left[egin{aligned} arphi^+ & \ rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(arphi+v+i\chi) \ & \ \Delta &= \left(egin{aligned} rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \ \Delta^0 & -rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}
ight) \ & \ \Delta^0 &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta+v_\Delta+i\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Mass eigenstates: (SM-like) h, (Triplet-like) $\mathbf{H}^{++}, \mathbf{H}^+, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{A}$ If $\lambda_5 < 0$ Mass spectrum: $\underline{m_h^2 \simeq 2\lambda_1 v^2}$ $M_\Delta^2 \equiv \frac{v^2 \mu}{\sqrt{2} v_\Delta}$ $\underline{m_{H^++}^2 \simeq M_\Delta^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \lambda_5}$ $m_{H^++}^2 \xrightarrow{w_{H^++}^2} \frac{\lambda_5 v^2}{4}$ $m_{H^+}^2 \xrightarrow{w_{H^+}^2} \frac{\lambda_5 v^2}{4}$ $m_{H^+}^2 \xrightarrow{w_{H^+}^2} \frac{\lambda_5 v^2}{4}$

The characteristic mass spectrum: $m_{H^{++}}^2 - m_{H^+}^2 \simeq m_{H^+}^2 - m_{\phi^0}^2$ is predicted. Measuring this spectrum, the model can be tested !

The Higgs Triplet Model (HTM)

The Higgs potential

$$= m^{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + M^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \left[\mu \Phi^{T} i \tau_{2} \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi + \text{h.c.} \right]$$
$$+ \lambda_{1} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^{2} + \lambda_{2} \left[\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \right]^{2} + \lambda_{3} \operatorname{Tr}[(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^{2}]$$
$$+ \lambda_{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_{5} \Phi^{\dagger} \Delta \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi.$$

$$egin{aligned} \Phi &= \left[egin{aligned} arphi^+ & \ rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(arphi+v+i\chi)
ight] \ \Delta &= \left(egin{aligned} rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \ \Delta^0 & -rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}
ight) \ \Delta^0 &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta+v_\Delta+i\eta) \end{aligned}$$



In this talk, we discuss **how we can test the HTM in the case with the mass difference** at the LHC.

Exotic Higgs Φ^{++} : EPS Results

- Arises in models with extra Higgs triplets
 - Φ⁺⁺, Φ⁺, Φ⁰
- Triplet responsible for small neutrino mass
- Unknown neutrino mass matrix
 → unknown branching ratios → broad search
- Below M ≈2M_w, only leptonic decays



When $\mathbf{H}^{++} \to \mathbf{l}^{+}\mathbf{l}^{+}$, $m_{\mathrm{H}^{++}} > 250 - 300 \text{ GeV}.$

This bound cannot be applied when H⁺⁺ does not decay into the same sign dilepton. CMS Preliminary BR($\Phi^{*+} \rightarrow e^+e^+$)=100% BR($\Phi^{*+} \rightarrow e^+\mu^+$)=100% BR($\Phi^{*+} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^+$)=100% BR($\Phi^{*+} \rightarrow e^+\tau^+$)=100% BR($\Phi^{*+} \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^+$)=100% BP1: normal hierarchy BP2: inverse hierachy BP3: degenerate masses BP4: equal branchings



V. Sharma, Lepton Photon 2011

Decay

The decay of Δ -like scalar bosons can be classified into 3 modes.



Branching ratio of H⁺⁺





Chakrabarti, Choudhury, Godbole, Mukhopadhyaya, (1998); Chun, Lee, Park, (2003); Perez, Han, Huang, Li, Wang, (2008); Melfo, Nemevsek, Nesti, Senjanovic, (2011)

Phenomenology of $\Delta m \neq 0$ is drastically different from that of $\Delta m = 0$.

Branching ratios of H⁺, H and A





Light Δ [O(100) GeV] Scenario



Production mechanisms at LHC



Production cross sections

Akeroyd, Aoki, PRD72 (2005); Perez, Han, Huang, Li, Wang, PRD78 (2008).



The magnitude of these production cross sections is around 1-100 fb.

Transverse mass





All the masses of the Δ -like scalar bosons may be reconstructed.

Summary

- The type II seesaw model can be explained neutrino masses, which deduces the extended Higgs model (The Higgs triplet model).
- In the Higgs triplet model, a characteristic mass spectrum appears :

$$m_{H^{++}}^2 - m_{H^+}^2 \simeq m_{H^+}^2 - m_{\phi^0}^2$$

- Phenomenology of the HTM in the case with $\Delta m \neq 0$ is drastically different from that in the case with $\Delta m = 0$ ($\mathbf{H}^{++} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{W}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \mathbf{W}^+ \mathbf{W}^+$).
- In our work, we focus on the characteristic mass spectrum, and we outline how the HTM can be tested in the case with Δm ≠ 0 at the LHC.
- By measuring the transverse mass distribution and the invariant mass distribution, the masses of the Δ -like scalar bosons may be reconstructed.
- Background simulation is the future work to clarify the feasibility.

Back up slides

Backgrounds

Signal: $pp \to H^{++}H^- \to (\phi^0 W^+ W^+)(\phi^0 W^-) \to \underline{(\ell^+ \ell^+ b \bar{b} \not{E}_T)(b \bar{b} j j)}_{8 \text{ fb}}$

Backgrounds:

(A): $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^+W^- \rightarrow (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-)W^+W^- \rightarrow \underline{(b\ell^+\nu)(\bar{b}jj)(\ell^+\nu)(jj)}$ O(10) fb (B): $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^+W^- \rightarrow (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-)W^+W^- \rightarrow (b\ell^+\nu)(\bar{b}\ell^-\nu)(jj)(jj)$ O(10) fb

For (A), top reconstruction by the M_T and M_{inv} distributions may be used. For (B), charge identification for leptons may be used.

Signal:
$$pp \to H^+ \phi^0 \to (\phi^0 W^+)(b\bar{b}) \to (\ell^+ b\bar{b} E_T)(b\bar{b})$$

33 fb

Backgrounds:

(C):
$$pp \to t\bar{t} \to (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-) \to (b\ell^+\nu)(\bar{b}jj)$$
 O(1) pb
(D): $pp \to t\bar{t}\gamma^*/g^* \to (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-)(jj) \to (b\ell^+\nu)(\bar{b}\ell^+\nu)(jj)$ O(10) fb

For (C), top reconstruction by the M_T and M_{inv} distributions may be used. For (D), b-tagging and cut for the low E jet would be useful to reduce the BG.

Large Δm case



Decay widths for Δ -like scalar bosons



In the wide parameter regions, the decay width of the Δ -like scalar bosons is much smaller than that of top quark and massive gauge bosons.

Constraint from the rho parameter

Experimental value of rho parameter is quite close to unity: $\rho_{exp} \sim 1$ Theoretical prediction of rho parameter strongly depends on structure of Higgs sectors.

$$\rho_{\text{tree}} = \frac{\sum_{i} v_i^2 [T_i (T_i + 1) - Y_i^2]}{\sum_{i} 2Y_i^2 v_i^2}$$

- Y_i : hypercharge
- T_i : isospin
- $v_i: VEV$

Multi-doublet models

- \rightarrow Custodial SU(2) symmetry exists in the kinetic term of Higgs fields.
- $\rightarrow \rho_{\text{tree}} = 1$ is predicted.
- Rho parameter deviates from unity at the 1-loop level. \star

Models with Higgs triplets

- \rightarrow Custodial SU(2) symmetry is broken in the kinetic term of Higgs fields
- $\rightarrow \rho_{tree} \neq 1$ is predicted.

Triplet VEV is small compared with doublet VEV.
 Imposing alignment among triplet VEVs, custodial SU(2) symmetry is restored.

Triplet VEV is constrained from rho parameter

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{\pm} \\ \Delta^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = R(\beta_{\pm}) \begin{pmatrix} w^{\pm} \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = R(\beta_0) \begin{pmatrix} z \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = R(\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(\theta) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cos\beta_{\pm} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{v^2 + 2v_{\Delta}^2}}, \quad \cos\beta_0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4v_{\Delta}^2}}, \quad \tan 2\alpha \simeq \frac{v_{\Delta}}{v} \frac{4M_{\Delta}^2 - 2v^2(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)}{M_{\Delta}^2 - 2v^2\lambda_1}.$$



Model	SM with η (Y = 0)	SM with Δ (Y = 1)	SM with η and Δ	
$ F ^2 =$	$rac{4v^2v_\eta^2}{\cos^2 heta_W(v^2+4v_\eta^2)^2}$	$rac{2v^2v_\Delta^2}{\cos^2 heta_W(v^2+2v_\Delta^2)^2}$	$rac{4v_\Delta^2}{\cos^2 heta_W(v^2{+}4v_\Delta^2)}$	$v_{\eta} = v_{\Delta}/\sqrt{2}$
$ ho_{ m tree} =$	$1+rac{4v_\eta^2}{v^2}$	$1-rac{2v_\Delta^2}{v^2+4v_\Delta^2}$	1	