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Motivation \& Background

## Neutral Kaon System

- Flavor eigenstates

$$
K^{0}=(\bar{s} d), \quad \bar{K}^{0}=(s \bar{d})
$$

- CP eigenstates

$$
K_{ \pm}=\left(K^{0} \pm \bar{K}^{0}\right) / \sqrt{2}, \quad C P\left|K_{ \pm}\right\rangle= \pm\left|K_{ \pm}\right\rangle
$$

- Hamiltonian eigenstates

$$
K_{S}=\frac{K_{+}+\bar{\epsilon} K_{-}}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{\epsilon}|^{2}}}, \quad K_{L}=\frac{K_{-}+\bar{\epsilon} K_{+}}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{\epsilon}|^{2}}}, \quad|\bar{\epsilon}| \simeq O\left(10^{-3}\right)
$$

- Preferable decays to pion states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{S} \rightarrow 2 \pi\left(\text { via } K_{+}, C P \text { even }\right) \\
& K_{L} \rightarrow 3 \pi\left(\text { via } K_{-}, C P \text { odd }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Direct / Indirect CP Violation

$$
K_{L} \sim K_{-}+\bar{\epsilon} K_{+}
$$

Indirect CPV : $\epsilon$


## Direct CPV : $\epsilon^{\prime}$

$\pi \pi$

- CP violating $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \pi$ can occur in two ways
* $\boldsymbol{K}_{-}(C P$ odd $) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}$ (CP even) : Direct CPV

$$
\epsilon^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{A\left[K_{L} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{2}\right]}{A\left[K_{S} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{2}\right]}-\epsilon \frac{A\left[K_{S} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{2}\right]}{A\left[K_{S} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{0}\right]}\right)
$$

$\boldsymbol{*} \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{K}_{+}$(CP even) $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ (CP even) : Indirect CPV

$$
\epsilon=\frac{A\left[K_{L} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{0}\right]}{A\left[K_{S} \rightarrow(\pi \pi)_{0}\right]}
$$

$K_{L}$ can have small CP even component via $K^{0}-\bar{K}^{0}$ mixing

## $K^{0}-\bar{K}^{0}$ Mixing in the SM

- Arises from the $\Delta S=2, s \bar{d} \rightarrow \bar{s} d$ FCNC
- Responsible for indirect CPV \& $\Delta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \equiv M_{K_{L}}-M_{K_{S}}$
- Dominated by the following box diagrams



## $K^{0}-\bar{K}^{0}$ Mixing in the SM



- Integrating out $W$, the box diagram can be replaced by the local, four-quark operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\Delta \mathrm{S}=2}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} F^{0} Q_{1}+\text { h.c. } \\
Q_{1}=\left[\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]\left[\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Kaon Bag Parameter - $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$

- In the SM, indirect CPV can be predicted as follows

$$
\epsilon_{K} \sim \text { known factors } \times V_{C K M} \times \hat{B}_{K}
$$

- $\hat{B}_{K}$ is the RG invariant form of $B_{K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{K}=\frac{\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right|\left[\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]\left[\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]\left|K^{0}\right\rangle}{\frac{8}{3}\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d\left|K^{0}\right\rangle} \\
& \hat{B}_{K}=C(\mu) B_{K}(\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\hat{B}_{K}$ contains all the non-perturbative QCD contributions for $\epsilon_{K}$,
can be calculated from lattice simulations


## Experiment vs SM Prediction on $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$

( Y. Jang \& W. Lee, 2012 )


- There are two methods(exclusive, inclusive) to determine $V_{c b}$, whose results do not agree each other
- SM prediction of $\epsilon_{K}$ deviates from the experimental value about $3 \sigma$ for exclusive $V_{c b}$


## BSM Contribution to $K^{0}-\bar{K}^{\mathbf{0}}$ Mixing

- In the Standard Model, only the "left-left" form contribute to the $\boldsymbol{K}^{\mathbf{0}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{K}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ mixing box diagram

$$
\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right|\left[\overline{\mathbf{s}} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]\left[\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d\right]\left|K^{0}\right\rangle
$$

- Considering BSM physics, integrating out heavy particles (e.g. squarks \& gnuinos in supersymmetric models) leads to new operators w/ Dirac structures other than "left-left"




## BSM Operators

- Considering BSM, generic effective Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2}=\left[\bar{s}^{a}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& H_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\Delta S=2}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} C_{i} Q_{i} \\
& Q_{3}=\left[\bar{s}^{a} \sigma_{\mu v}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& Q_{4}=\left[\bar{s}^{a}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& Q_{5}=\left[\bar{s}^{a} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- New $\Delta S=2$ four-fermion operators give additional contributions to Kaon mixing elements
- Since they are constrained by experimental results, calculating corresponding hadronic matrix elements

$$
\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| \bar{Q}_{i}\left|K^{0}\right\rangle
$$

can impose strong constraints on BSM physics

## BSM B-parameters

- B-parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2}=\left[\bar{s}^{a}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& Q_{3}=\left[\bar{s}^{a} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b} \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& Q_{4}=\left[\bar{s}^{a}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& Q_{5}=\left[\bar{s}^{a} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right] \\
& \left(N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}, N_{5}\right)=(5 / 3,4,-2,4 / 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

- In lattice calculation, forming dimensionless ratio reduces statistical and systematic error
- Chiral perturbation expression is simpler
- Denominator dose not vanish in chiral limit (unlike $B_{K}$ )

$$
\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| \bar{s} \gamma_{5} d|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma_{5} d\left|K^{0}\right\rangle=-\left(\frac{f_{K} M_{K}^{2}}{m_{d}+m_{s}}\right)^{2}
$$

## Lattice QCD

## Lattice QCD

- Non-perturbative approach to solving QCD
- Formulation of QCD on discretized Euclidean space-time
- Hypercubic lattice
- Lattice spacing "a"
- Quark fields placed on sites
- Gauge fields on the links
 between sites: $U_{\mu}$


## Lattice QCD

- Use numerical method (Montecarlo simulation) to calculate integral

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} U_{\mu} \mathcal{D} \Psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\Psi} \mathcal{O} e^{-S}
$$

- "Lattice action" is needed to simulate in discretized space-time

$$
S[U, \bar{\Psi}, \Psi]=S_{G}[U]+S_{F}[U, \bar{\Psi}, \Psi]
$$

- We use "Staggered fermion" for the lattice fermion
- The fastest lattice fermion action
- Suffered from "taste symmetry breaking" but manageable


## Lattice QCD

- Expectation value

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\mathcal{O}(U, q, \bar{q})\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{D} q \mathcal{D} \bar{q} \mathcal{O}(U, q, \bar{q}) \\
& \times e^{-S_{G}-\sum_{f} \bar{q}_{f}\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right) q_{f}} \\
&=\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{O}(U,\left.\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \times e^{-S_{G}[U]} \prod_{f} \operatorname{det}\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Integrating over the $q$ and $\bar{q}$ gives determinant of Dirac operator and quark propagators, $\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)^{-1}$
- Generate random samples (gauge links) according to the probability distribution allows us to integrate using Montecarlo method


## Lattice QCD

- Expectation value

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathcal{O}(U, q, \bar{q})\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{D} q \mathcal{D} \bar{q} \mathcal{O}(U, q, \bar{q}) \\
\times e^{-S_{G}-\sum_{f} \bar{q}_{f}\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right) q_{f}} \\
=\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{O}\left(U,\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
\times \cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots \\
e^{-S_{G}[U]} \prod_{f} \operatorname{det}\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle f(X)\rangle=\int d x f(x) p_{X}(x)
$$

- Integrating over the $q$ and $\bar{q}$ gives determinant of Dirac operator and quark propagators, $\left(D[U]+m_{f}\right)^{-1}$
- Generate random samples (gauge links) according to the probability distribution allows us to integrate using Montecarlo method


## Data Analysis

## Physical Results from Unphysical Simulations

- Chiral extrapolation
- In the lattice simulation, the smaller quark mass requires the exponentially larger computational cost
$>$ Use light quark masses larger than physical d-quark mass and extrapolate to the physical down quark mass using (staggered) chiral perturbation theory
- Tuning the strange quark mass to precise physical quark mass is not practical
>Extrapolate to physical strange quark mass
- Continuum extrapolation
- We use finite lattice spacing ( $a \geq 0.45 \mathrm{fm}$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Extrapolate to $a=0$ to obtain continuum results


## Data Analysis Strategy

## 1. Calculate raw data

Calculate BSM B-parameters for different quark mass combinations, $\left(m_{u}=m_{d}, m_{s}\right)$

## 2. Chiral fitting

X-fit : Fix strange quark mass and extrapolate to the light quark mass $m_{l}$ to give physical down quark mass
Y-fit : Extrapolate $m_{s}$ to give physical strange quark mass
3. RG evolution

Obtain results at 2 GeV and 3 GeV from 1/a
4. Continuum extrapolation

Perform [1-3] for different lattices and extrapolate to $a=0$

## Analysis Data

| $a(\mathrm{fm})$ | $1 / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $a m_{l} / a m_{s}$ | geometry | ens $\times$ meas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.12 | 1.662 | $0.01 / 0.05$ | $20^{3} \times 64$ | $671 \times 9$ |
| 0.09 | 2.348 | $0.0062 / 0.031$ | $28^{3} \times 96$ | $995 \times 9$ |
| 0.06 | 3.362 | $0.0036 / 0.018$ | $48^{3} \times 144$ | $749 \times 9$ |
| 0.045 | 4.517 | $0.0028 / 0.014$ | $64^{3} \times 192$ | $747 \times 1$ |

- MILC 2+1 AsqTad lattice
- Use u, d, s dynamical quarks
$-m_{u}=m_{d} \neq m_{s}$
- Four different lattices


## Operator Matching

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{O}_{i}^{\mathrm{Cont}^{\prime}}=\sum_{j \in(A)} z_{i j} \mathcal{O}_{j}^{\mathrm{Lat}}-\frac{g^{2}}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \sum_{k \in(B)} d_{i k}^{\mathrm{Lat}} \mathcal{O}_{k}^{\mathrm{Lat}} \\
z_{i j}=b_{i j}+\frac{g^{2}}{(4 \pi)^{2}}\left(-\gamma_{i j} \log (\mu a)+d_{i j}^{\mathrm{Cont}}-d_{i j}^{\mathrm{Lat}}-C_{F} I_{M F} T_{i j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- To find continuum (NDR with $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ ) results from those regularized on the lattice, "operator matching" is needed
- $z_{i j}$ are the one-loop matching factors (J. Kim, W. Lee and S. Sharpe, PhysRevD.83.094503)
- We use matching scale $\mu=1 / a$


## Calculation of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$

$$
B_{2}=\frac{\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right|\left[\bar{s}^{a}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{a}\right]\left[\bar{s}^{b}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) d^{b}\right]\left|K^{0}\right\rangle}{(5 / 3)\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| \bar{s} \gamma_{5} d|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma_{5} d\left|K^{0}\right\rangle}
$$



## Chiral Fitting

- Fitting functions for X-fit (J. Bailey, et al., Phys. Rev. D85, (2012), 074507)

$$
B_{j}\left(X_{P}\right)=c_{1} F_{0}(j)+c_{2} \frac{X_{P}}{\Lambda^{2}}+c_{3} \frac{X_{P}^{2}}{\Lambda^{4}} \quad(\mathrm{~S} \chi \mathrm{PT}, \mathrm{NNLO})
$$

where $X_{P}$ is the squared mass of pion, $\Lambda=1 \mathrm{GeV}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}(j)=1 \pm \frac{1}{32 \pi^{2} f^{2}}\left\{l\left(X_{I}\right)+\left(L_{I}-X_{I}\right) \tilde{l}\left(X_{I}\right)-2\left\langle l\left(X_{B}\right)\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \quad(+ \text { for } j=2,3, K, \quad-\text { for } j=4,5)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Golden combinations
- Combinations that cancel the leading chiral logarithms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{B_{2}}{B_{3}}, \quad \frac{B_{4}}{B_{5}}, \quad B_{2} \cdot B_{4},\right. \\
\left.R\left(X_{P}\right)=c_{1}+c_{2} \frac{X_{P}}{B_{K}}\right) \\
\Lambda^{2}
\end{gathered} c_{3} \frac{X_{P}^{2}}{\Lambda^{4}} \quad(\mathrm{NNLO}), ~ \$
$$

## Chiral Fitting : X-fit



## Chiral Fitting : Y-fit



## RG Evolution

- Now we have B-parameter values at $\mu=1 / \mathrm{a}$
- To perform continuum extrapolation with different lattices, we need B-param. values at a common scale
- RG running from $\mu_{a}(1 / \mathrm{a})$ to $\mu_{b}(2 \mathrm{GeV}, 3 \mathrm{GeV})$

$$
B_{j}\left(\mu_{b}\right)=\sum_{k} \frac{1}{N_{j}} W^{R}\left(\mu_{b}, \mu_{a}\right)_{j k} N_{k} B_{k}\left(\mu_{a}\right)
$$

- Evolution kernels satisfy the RG equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d W\left(\mu_{b}, \mu_{a}\right)}{d \ln \mu_{b}}=-\gamma\left(\mu_{b}\right) W\left(\mu_{b}, \mu_{a}\right), \quad W\left(\mu_{a}, \mu_{b}\right)=1 \\
& \gamma(\mu)=\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{4 \pi} \gamma^{(0)}+\left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{4 \pi}\right)^{2} \gamma^{(1)}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Continuum Extrapolation

- Formula
- Bayesian fit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{j}\left(a^{2}\right)=c_{1}+c_{2}^{b}(a \Lambda)^{2}+c_{3}^{b}(a \Lambda)^{2} \alpha_{S}+c_{4}^{b} \alpha_{S}^{2}+c_{5}^{b}(a \Lambda)^{4} \\
& \Lambda=300 \mathrm{GeV}, c_{i}^{b} \text { are constrained by } c_{i}^{b} \approx 0 \pm 2
\end{aligned}
$$

- Linear fit

$$
B_{j}\left(a^{2}\right)=c_{1}+c_{2} a^{2}
$$

- Results
- Final results are obtained using linear fit without coarse lattice ( $a \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ )


## Continuum Extrapolation : Bayesian fit



## Continuum Extrapolation : Linear fit



Results

## BSM B-parameters at 2 GeV and 3 GeV

| 2 GeV | Coarse | Fine | Superfine | Ultrafine | Continuum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{K}$ | $0.5651(46)$ | $0.5296(39)$ | $0.5351(35)$ | $0.5320(77)$ | $0.5379(65)$ |
| $B_{2}$ | $0.5415(08)$ | $0.5654(13)$ | $0.5955(16)$ | $0.6046(28)$ | $0.6219(26)$ |
| $B_{3}$ | $0.3699(06)$ | $0.4158(09)$ | $0.4590(13)$ | $0.4801(21)$ | $0.5019(20)$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $1.0944(20)$ | $1.1228(25)$ | $1.0927(33)$ | $1.0949(53)$ | $1.0736(51)$ |
| $B_{5}$ | $0.9260(17)$ | $0.9356(22)$ | $0.8890(27)$ | $0.8725(44)$ | $0.8467(43)$ |


| 3 GeV | Coarse | Fine | Superfine | Ultrafine | Continuum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{K}$ | $0.5459(44)$ | $0.5115(38)$ | $0.5169(34)$ | $0.5139(75)$ | $0.5195(63)$ |
| $B_{2}$ | $0.4798(07)$ | $0.5009(11)$ | $0.5275(15)$ | $0.5355(25)$ | $0.5509(23)$ |
| $B_{3}$ | $0.3169(05)$ | $0.3511(08)$ | $0.3843(10)$ | $0.3998(17)$ | $0.4167(16)$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $1.0456(19)$ | $1.0726(24)$ | $1.0438(32)$ | $1.0457(51)$ | $1.0255(49)$ |
| $B_{5}$ | $0.9124(17)$ | $0.9250(21)$ | $0.8834(27)$ | $0.8711(43)$ | $0.8473(42)$ |

## Preliminary!

## Summary

## Summary

- BSM physics leads to new $\Delta S=2$ four-fermion operators that contribute to $K^{0}-\bar{K}^{0}$ mixing
- Calculating corresponding hadronic matrix elements, $\left\langle\bar{K}^{0}\right| Q_{i}\left|K^{0}\right\rangle_{\text {, can }}$ impose strong constraints on BSM physics
- We calculate BSM B-parameters on the lattice and present preliminary results
- We are working on estimating systematic errors

