

Asymptotic Behavior in Degenerate Parabolic Nonlinear equations and its application to Elliptic Eigenvalue Problems

Soojung Kim

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Seoul National University, Korea

November, 2012

(joint with Ki-Ahm Lee)

Introduction

Let us consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\varphi = -\mu\varphi^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is smooth and bounded in \mathbb{R}^n and $p > 0$.

- Let Ω be a **strictly convex** domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

Are the level sets of the positive **eigen-function** $\varphi(x)$ **convex**?

For Laplace operator,

- $p = 1$: $\log(\varphi)$, strictly concave.
- $0 < p < 1$: $\varphi^{\frac{1-p}{2}}$, strictly concave.

Known results for Laplacian

- $p = 1$,
 - Brascamp, Lieb (1976) , probability method
 - Korevaar (1983), analytical approach

- $0 < p < 1$,
 - Kawohl (1985), Korevaar's idea
 - Lee, Vazquez (2008), parabolic approach

- $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$,
 - Lin (1994), for energy minimizer
 - Gladiali, Grossi (2004), for energy minimizing sequence
 - Lee, Vazquez (2008), $\exists \varphi$ having strictly convex level sets.

Fully Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems

- We consider the following elliptic nonlinear eigenvalue problems

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\varphi) = -\mu\varphi^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{NLEV})$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

- Assumptions on Operators.

(F1) F is uniformly elliptic ;

$\exists 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$ (called ellipticity constants) s.t. for any symmetric matrices M and N ,

$$\lambda\|N\| \leq F(M + N) - F(M) \leq \Lambda\|N\|, \quad \forall N \geq 0.$$

- If F is differentiable, $\lambda\mathbf{I} \leq (F_{ij}) \leq \Lambda\mathbf{I}$ $\left(F_{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial m_{ij}}\right)$

- Pucci's extremal operators

Let $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$. For a symmetric matrix M ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M) = \mathcal{M}^+(M) = \lambda \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i + \Lambda \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M) = \mathcal{M}^-(M) = \Lambda \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i + \lambda \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i,$$

where $e_i = e_i(M)$ are the eigenvalues of M .

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}$ be the set of all symmetric matrices whose eigenvalues lie in $[\lambda, \Lambda]$. Then,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(M) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}} \text{tr}(AM), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(M) = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \Lambda}} \text{tr}(AM).$$

(F2) F is positively homogeneous of order one;

$$F(tM) = tF(M), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{S}^n.$$

- If F is differentiable, then F is a linear operator with constant coefficients.

Existence and Uniqueness of (NLEV)

Theorem ($p = 1$, Ishii and Yoshimura)

Let F satisfy (F1), (F2). Then, \exists positive solution $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ of (NLEV) and the eigenvalue $\mu > 0$ is unique and simple.

\Rightarrow uniqueness up to a constant multiple

Theorem ($0 < p < 1$)

\exists a unique positive solution $\varphi \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for a given $\mu > 0$.

- Comparison Principle for $0 < p < 1$
- Perron's Method

Fully Nonlinear Parabolic Flows

- For $m > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathbf{u}^m) = \mathbf{u}_t & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_o(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{PE})$$

posed in a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Example. $F = \Delta$,
 - $m = 1$: heat equation
 - $m > 1$: porous medium equation or slow diffusion equation
 - $0 < m < 1$: fast diffusion equation
- The positive eigenfunction $\varphi(x)$
= Limit of normalized function of $u(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.
 - $m = \frac{1}{p}$

Main Result ($m = 1$)

Theorem

Suppose that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and is *concave* and that Ω is *convex*.
If $\log u_0$ is concave, then $\log u(x, t)$ is concave in x for $t > 0$.

Theorem ($p = 1$)

Under the same condition, $\log \varphi(x)$ is concave,
where φ is the positive eigenfunction of (NLEV) with $p = 1$.

Remark

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-$ is a nontrivial example of the concave operators satisfying (F1), (F2).
- (ii) Concavity of F is required when we study geometric property of solutions.

Main Result ($m > 1$)

Theorem

Suppose that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and is concave and that Ω is convex.

Let u_0 satisfy $-Cu_0 \leq F(D^2u_0^m) \leq 0$ for $C > 0$.

If $u_0^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ is concave, then $u^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(x, t)$ is concave in x for $t > 0$.

Theorem ($0 < p = \frac{1}{m} < 1$)

Under the same condition, $\varphi^{\frac{1-p}{2}}(x) = \varphi^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}(x)$ is concave,

where φ is the positive eigenfunction of (NLEV).

Remark

The condition on initial data u_0 might be removable.

Parabolic Approach

- 1 Show convergence to the positive eigenfunction $\varphi(x)$ after suitable normalization of $u(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$
 - $e^{\mu t} u(x, t)$ for $m = 1$
 - $t^{\frac{1}{m-1}} u(x, t)$ for $m > 1$
- 2 Some geometric quantity will be preserved under the flow.
 - $f(u) = \log(u)$ for $m = 1$
 - $f(u) = u^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ for $m > 1$
 - the idea of K.-A. Lee and J.L. Vazquez.
- 3 The geometric property for Eigenvalue problem will be obtained in the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Uniformly Parabolic Equation ($m=1$)

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u) = u_t & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{PE})$$

- $\varphi(x)e^{-\mu t}$ is a similarity solution of (PE), where μ is the principal eigenvalue and φ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\varphi) + \mu\varphi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{NLEV})$$

- Let $v(x, t) := e^{\mu t}u(x, t)$. Then v solves

$$F(D^2v) + \mu v = v_t.$$

Lemma (Uniform Convergence, $m = p = 1$)

Let F satisfy (F1), (F2). Then, $\exists \gamma^* > 0$ s.t.

$$v(x, t) = e^{\mu t}u(x, t) \rightarrow \gamma^* \varphi(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof.

- $\exists t_0 > 0$ s.t. $0 < C_1\varphi(x) < u(x, t_0) < C_2\varphi(x)$ and hence for $t \geq t_0$,

$$C_1\varphi(x)e^{-\mu t} < u(x, t) < C_2\varphi(x)e^{-\mu t}$$

by Comparison principle

Then, $v(x, t)$ is bounded. From the Weak Harnack inequalities for v ,

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \|v(\cdot, \cdot + s)\|_{C_{x,t}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty))} < +\infty \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

- Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\text{all sequential limits of } \{v(\cdot, \cdot + s)\}_{s \geq 0}\}$ and
 $\gamma^* := \inf \{\gamma > 0 : \exists w \in \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } w(x, t) \leq \gamma\varphi(x) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)\}.$
 $\Rightarrow 0 < C_1 < \gamma^* < C_2 < +\infty.$

- We show $\mathcal{A} = \{\gamma^*\varphi\}.$

(by Maximum principle, Regularity theory.)

$$(i) w \leq \gamma^*\varphi \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (ii) w = \gamma^*\varphi \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{A}$$



Lemma (Log-concavity)

Suppose that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and is concave and Ω is strictly convex. If $\log(\mathbf{u}_0)$ is concave, then $\log(\mathbf{u}(x, t))$ is concave in x for all $t > 0$, i.e.,

$$D_x^2 \log(\mathbf{u}(x, t)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Proof.

- Approximate the operator F by smooth, concave F^ε satisfying (F1),

$$|F^\varepsilon(M) - F_{ij}^\varepsilon(M)M_{ij}| \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (\text{F2}')$$

(instead of (F2),) where $F_{ij}^\varepsilon(M) := \frac{\partial F^\varepsilon}{\partial m_{ij}}(M)$.

- Assume $\log(\mathbf{u}_0)$ is smooth and strictly concave.
- Let \mathbf{u}^ε be the solution of

$$\mathbf{u}_t = F^\varepsilon(D^2\mathbf{u}) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

with \mathbf{u}_0 as initial data.

- We put $g^\varepsilon = \log(u^\varepsilon)$. Then g^ε solves

$$\partial_t g = e^{-g} F^\varepsilon (e^g (D^2 g + \nabla g \nabla g^t)).$$

Question $D^2 g^\varepsilon \leq 0$?

- In $\Omega \times (0, T]$, for small $\delta > 0$, define

$$Z(t) := \sup_{y \in \Omega, |e_\beta|=1} g_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(y, t) + \psi(t),$$

where $\psi(t) = -\delta \tan(K\sqrt{\delta}t)$ for $K > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$

- Suppose that $\exists t_0 \geq 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &:= \sup_{y \in \Omega, |e_\beta|=1} g_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(y, t) + \psi(t) = 0 \quad \text{at } t = t_0 \\ &= g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon(x_0, t_0) + \psi(t_0), \end{aligned}$$

and assume that t_0 is the first time.

- We note that $Z(0) < 0$ and hence $t_0 > 0$.

($\because g^\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) = \log u_0$ is strictly concave.)

- Boundary estimates; as $x \in \Omega \rightarrow \partial\Omega$

$$g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon = \frac{u^\varepsilon u_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon - (u_\alpha^\varepsilon)^2}{(u^\varepsilon)^2} = \frac{u_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon}{u^\varepsilon} - \frac{(u_\alpha^\varepsilon)^2}{(u^\varepsilon)^2} \rightarrow -\infty$$

① $e_\alpha = e_\nu$, a normal vector to $\partial\Omega$,

- $|\nabla u^\varepsilon| = -u_\nu^\varepsilon > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ by Hopf's lemma
- $u^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $|D^2 u^\varepsilon| < C$ in Ω .

② $e_\alpha = e_\tau$, a tangential vector to $\partial\Omega$,

- $u_\tau^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$
- Strict convexity of $\Omega \Rightarrow u_{\tau\tau}^\varepsilon = u_\nu^\varepsilon \kappa_\tau < -c_0 < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,
where e_ν , outward normal to $\partial\Omega$, $\kappa_\tau =$ curvature of $\partial\Omega$ in e_τ

\Rightarrow The maximum point x_0 should be in Ω .

- $g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\alpha\alpha,t} &= F_{ij}^\varepsilon \cdot (D_{ij}g_{\alpha\alpha} + D_i g_{\alpha\alpha} D_j g + D_i g D_j g_{\alpha\alpha} + 2D_i g_\alpha D_j g_\alpha) \\
 &\quad + (g_\alpha^2 - g_{\alpha\alpha}) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left\{ e^{-g} F^\varepsilon (e^g (D^2 g + \nabla g \nabla g^t)) - F_{ij}^\varepsilon \cdot (D_{ij}g + D_i g D_j g) \right\} \\
 &\quad + e^{-g} F_{ij,kl}^\varepsilon \cdot (e^g (D_{ij}g + D_i g D_j g))_\alpha \cdot (e^g (D_{kl}g + D_k g D_l g))_\alpha \\
 &\leq F_{ij}^\varepsilon \cdot (D_{ij}g_{\alpha\alpha} + D_i g_{\alpha\alpha} D_j g + D_i g D_j g_{\alpha\alpha} + 2D_i g_\alpha D_j g_\alpha) \\
 &\quad + |g_\alpha^2 - g_{\alpha\alpha}| e^{-g} C_\varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

from (F2'), Concavity of F^ε ,

where

$$F_{ij}^\varepsilon := F_{ij}^\varepsilon (e^g (D^2 g + \nabla g \nabla g^t)), \quad F_{ij,kl}^\varepsilon := F_{ij,kl}^\varepsilon (e^g (D^2 g + \nabla g \nabla g^t)).$$

- At the maximum point x_0 , we have

- $\nabla_x g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon = 0, \quad D_x^2 g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon \leq 0$
- $g_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta \neq \alpha$

- At the maximum point (x_o, t_o) ,

$$\partial_t g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon \leq 2F_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon (g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon)^2 + K\varepsilon \leq 2\Lambda (g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon)^2 + K\varepsilon$$

for $K := C(\Lambda, n) \left(1 + \max_{\Omega_{(-\eta)} \times (0, T)} \frac{|D^2 u_\varepsilon|}{u_\varepsilon^2} \right)$ (from uniform $C^{2,\gamma}$ -estimates.)

\Rightarrow at $t = t_o$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq Z'(t_o) = \partial_t g_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon(x_o, t_o) + \psi_t(t_o) \\ &\leq \psi_t + 2\Lambda\psi^2 + K\varepsilon \leq \psi_t + K(\psi^2 + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

but $\psi(t) = -\delta \tan(K\sqrt{\delta}t)$,

$$\psi_t + K(\psi^2 + \varepsilon) < \frac{K(-\delta^{3/2} + \delta^2)}{\cos(K\sqrt{\delta}t)} < 0$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \ll \delta$ and for $K\sqrt{\delta}t < \frac{\pi}{4}$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore,

$$Z(t) = \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega, |e_\beta|=1} g_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{y}, t) + \psi(t) < 0,$$

i.e., for any e_β with $|e_\beta| = 1$,

$$\partial_{\beta\beta} \log(u^\varepsilon) < -\psi(t) = \delta \tan(K\sqrt{\delta}t) \leq \delta$$

for $0 < t < \min\left(\frac{\pi}{4K\sqrt{\delta}}, T\right)$ and for $0 < \varepsilon \ll \delta$.

- Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$\partial_{\beta\beta} \log(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T].$$



Corollary (Log-concavity, $p = 1$)

Suppose that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and is concave. If Ω is convex, the eigenfunction $\varphi(x)$ is log-concave, i. e., $D^2 \log(\varphi(x)) \leq 0$ in Ω .

Proof.

- Take $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ as initial data $u_0(x)$.
 - If Ω is convex, then $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ is concave and also log-concave.
- Let u solve (PE) with $u_0(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

$\Rightarrow u(x, t)$ is log-concave for any $t > 0$, i. e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\log u(x, t) + \log u(y, t)) - \log u\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, t\right) \leq 0.$$

- Uniform Convergence: $\|e^{\mu t} u(x, t) - \gamma^* \varphi(x)\|_{C_x^0(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\log \varphi(x) + \log \varphi(y)) - \log \varphi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq 0.$$

Degenerate parabolic Equation ($m > 1$)

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u^m) = u_t & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{DPE})$$

- (DPE) is degenerate at $u = 0$
 \therefore diffusion coefficient $= mu^{m-1}$
- Gas flow in a porous medium,
Underground water infiltration
- $u =$ density, $v := u^{m-1} =$ pressure,
- Scaling property
 - u is a solution of (DPE)
 \Rightarrow So is $\tilde{u}(x, t) := Au(Bx, Ct)$ when $C = A^{m-1}B^2$.

- Barenblatt (sub-) solutions

$$V(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} \left(c - k \frac{|x|^2}{t^\beta} \right)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{n\lambda}{2\lambda+n(m-1)\lambda}$, $\beta = \frac{2\lambda}{2\lambda+n(m-1)\lambda}$, $k = \frac{1}{2(2\lambda+n(m-1)\lambda)}$
and any $c > 0$.

: source-type solution of (DPE)

- waiting time , free boundary problem
- We assume

$$0 < c_o \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq u_o(x) \leq C_o \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for some $0 < c_o < C_o < +\infty$.

Asymptotics

Lemma (Aronson-Benilan inequality)

Let F satisfy (F1) and be concave and let $v = u^{m-1}$. Then,

$$F(D^2u^m) = u_t \geq -C(m) \frac{u}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad v_t \geq -C(m) \frac{v}{t}.$$

Lemma (Uniform Convergence, $m = \frac{1}{p} > 1$)

Let F satisfy (F1), (F2). Then,

$$t^{\frac{1}{m-1}} u(x, t) \rightarrow \varphi^{\frac{1}{m}}(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where φ is the positive eigenfunction of (NLEV) with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{m-1}$.

- We note that for any $\tau > 0$,

$$U(x, t) := \frac{\varphi^{\frac{1}{m}}(x)}{(\tau + t)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} \quad \text{is a similarity solution of (DPE) with } m > 1.$$

Square root concavity of the pressure

- Approximate the equation: for $0 < \eta < 1$,

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2 u_\eta^m) &= \partial_t u_\eta & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u_\eta(x, t) &= \eta & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u_{\eta,0}(x) &> \eta & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{DPE}')$$

- For each $\eta > 0$, the equation for $g_\eta := u_\eta^m$:

$$m g_\eta^{1-\frac{1}{m}} F(D^2 g_\eta) = \partial_t g_\eta$$

becomes a uniformly parabolic equation. ($\because u_\eta(x, t) \geq \eta > 0$)

- Let $g := u^m$ and $g_\eta := u_\eta^m$.

Let $w := \sqrt{v} = u^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = g^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}$ and $w_\eta := \sqrt{v_\eta} = u_\eta^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = g_\eta^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}$.

Lemma (Uniform Lipschitz estimates)

$$|\nabla_x u_\eta^m| = |\nabla_x g_\eta| < C \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega \times (0, T].$$

\Rightarrow It suffices to show concavity of $w_\eta = u_\eta^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ for each $\eta > 0$.

Lemma (Boundary estimates)

Let F satisfy (F1), (F2) and be concave and let Ω be strictly convex. Assume $-Cu_0 \leq F(D^2u_0^m) \leq 0$ for $C > 0$. Then, for small $\eta > 0$, and for any e_α ,

$$w_{\eta,\alpha\alpha}(x, t) = \frac{m-1}{2mg_\eta^{2-\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \left(g_\eta g_{\eta,\alpha\alpha} - \frac{m+1}{2m} g_{\eta,\alpha}^2 \right) \leq -\frac{c_0}{\eta^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}$$

on $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T]$, where $c_0 > 0$ is independent of $\eta > 0$.

Remark

- (i) The boundary estimate holds if $|D^2u_\eta^m| = |D^2g_\eta|$ is uniformly bounded in $\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T]$ w.r.t. $\eta > 0$.
- (ii) To get (i), we assume $-Cu_0 \leq F(D^2u_0^m) \leq 0$ for $C > 0$.
($\Rightarrow \partial_t u/u$ is bounded.)
- (iii) In general, we need to prove a weighted $C^{2,\gamma}$ -estimate of u_η up to the boundary.

Lemma (Square root concavity of the pressure)

Suppose that F satisfies (F1), (F2) and is concave and Ω is strictly convex. Assume "Boundary Estimate" holds.

If $\sqrt{u_0^{m-1}}$ is concave, then $\sqrt{v} = \sqrt{u^{m-1}}(x, t)$ is concave in x for $t > 0$.

Proof.

- Fix $\eta > 0$. Then $g_\eta = u_\eta^m$ solves a uniformly parabolic equation .
- Approximate the equation: for $0 < \varepsilon, \eta < 1$,

$$F^\varepsilon(D^2(u_\eta^\varepsilon)^m) = \partial_t u_\eta^\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

with smooth, concave F^ε satisfying (F1)

$$|F^\varepsilon(M) - F_{ij}^\varepsilon(M)M_{ij}| \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (\text{F2}')$$

- $w^\varepsilon := (u_\eta^\varepsilon)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = (g_\eta^\varepsilon)^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t w = \frac{m-1}{2} w^{\frac{m-3}{m-1}} F^\varepsilon \left(\frac{2m}{m-1} w^{\frac{3-m}{m-1}} \left(w^2 D^2 w + \frac{m+1}{m-1} w \nabla w \nabla w^t \right) \right).$$

Question $D^2 w^\varepsilon \leq 0$?

- In $\Omega \times (0, T)$, for small $\delta > 0$, assume

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in \Omega, |e_\beta|=1} w_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(y, t) + \psi(t) &= 0 \quad \text{at } t = t_0 \\ &= w_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon(0, t_0) + \psi(t_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(t) = -\varepsilon - e^{-1/\delta} e^{Kt} \tan(K\sqrt{\delta}t)$, and $K > 0$ is independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \eta > 0$.

- $t_0 > 0$ from the initial condition.
- The maximum point $x = 0$ is interior from "Boundary estimates".
- We use the function

$$Z(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} w_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta, \quad \text{"tilted } w_{\alpha\alpha}^\varepsilon \text{" around } (0, t_0),$$

where $\xi^\beta(x) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + c_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha\gamma} c_{\gamma\beta} x^\gamma x^\beta$, and $\vec{\xi}^t := (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ and look at the evolution of

$$Y(x, t) := Z(x, t) + \psi(t) |\vec{\xi}(x)|^2.$$

The remaining argument is similar to the case of $m = 1$.

Corollary ($0 < p = \frac{1}{m} < 1$)

Let F satisfy (F1), (F2) and be concave and let Ω be convex.

Then, $\varphi^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} = \varphi^{\frac{1-p}{2}}$ is concave.

Thank you.