Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics of Noisy Computing

<u>Alexander Mozeika¹</u>, David Saad¹ and Jack Raymond²

¹Aston University, UK ²Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, China

July 24, 2010

Outline

- **Background:** Noise in Computing, von Neumann's model.
- Motivation
- Random Boolean functions: Savicky's growth process.
- Model: Layered variant of growth process.
- Method: Generating functional.
- Results: Phase transitions, functions computed, evolution of error.

- **Ongoing work:** Probability of a Boolean function.
- Summary

Noise in computing

- Noise is inherent in most forms of computing.
- Classical computing circuits suffer from thermal noise and production errors.
- Impact of noise is more dramatic with increasing circuit complexity and scale.
- Quantum computers suffer from decoherence.
- Neural networks and biological systems are inherently noisy.

von Neumann's model of noisy computation

- ▶ von Neumann, 1952: For each gate in circuit assign $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ which is probab. for the gate to operate incorrectly. Can circuit perform desired operation with error (in the output) $\delta < 1/2$ (reliable)?
- ► ϵ -noisy gate α : $\{-1,1\}^k \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$, but for each $\mathbf{S} \in \{-1,1\}^k$: $\alpha(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow -\alpha(\mathbf{S})$ with prob. ϵ .
- Circuit/Formula directed acyclic graph/rooted tree.
 - Pippenger, 1988: k-ary formulas which tolerate noise: (i) must be deeper (slower) and (ii) compute any Boolean functions with δ < 1/2 only when ε < (k − 1)/2k.</p>

- Evans et. al., 1998: NAND-gate formulas: $\epsilon < (3 \sqrt{7})/4$.
- Evans et. al., 2003: k-ary formulas: $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{k-2}}{k\binom{k-1}{(k-1)/2}}$.

Motivation

- Rigourous results correspond to worst cases.
- Our aim is to analyze the *typical* behavior of noisy Boolean random circuits/formulas:
 - noise thresholds for different gates/distributions over gates

- level of error expected at any depth/layer
- functions computed
- sensitivity of functions
- convergence-rate dependence on input and noise

Random Boolean functions

Savicky's growth process:

$$A_0 = \{1, -1, S_1, \dots, S_n, -S_1, \dots, -S_n\}$$
$$A_{\ell+1} = \{\alpha(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k); \phi_j \in A_\ell \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

- Savicky, 1990: For all balanced and nonlinear α (MAJ-k,etc.) and for all f: $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} P_{\ell}(f) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^n}$.
- Brodsky et. al., 2005: Depending on A₀ and α process converges to uniform distribution on some set of functions or to single Boolean function.

Model



- ► We use *layered* variant of Savicky's growth process (feed-forward N×(L+1) circuit).
- ► $S' = \{-1, 1, S_1, ..., S_n, -S_1, ..., -S_n\}, |S'| \in O(N^0).$

Probabilistic framework

• The *i*-th gate in the ℓ -th layer operates according to:

$$S_{i}^{\ell} = \eta_{i}^{\ell} \alpha_{i}^{\ell} (S_{i_{1}}^{\ell-1}, \ldots, S_{i_{k}}^{\ell-1}),$$

where $P(\eta_i^\ell=-1)=\epsilon$, which leads to the microscopic law

$$P(S_i^{\ell}|S_{i_1}^{\ell-1},\ldots,S_{i_k}^{\ell-1}) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta S_i^{\ell} \alpha_i^{\ell}} (S_{i_1}^{\ell-1},\ldots,S_{i_k}^{\ell-1})}{2 \cosh[\beta \alpha_i^{\ell} (S_{i_1}^{\ell-1},\ldots,S_{i_k}^{\ell-1})]},$$

where $\tanh\beta = 1 - 2\epsilon$.

Joint probability of microscopic states in two systems:

$$P[\{\mathbf{S}^{\ell}\}; \{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{\ell}\}] = P(\mathbf{S}^{0}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{0}|S') \prod_{\ell=1}^{L} P(\mathbf{S}^{\ell}|\mathbf{S}^{\ell-1}) P(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{\ell}|\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{\ell-1}),$$

where
$$P(\mathbf{S}^{\ell}|\mathbf{S}^{\ell-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(S_i^{\ell}|S_{i_1}^{\ell-1}, \dots, S_{i_k}^{\ell-1}).$$

Generating functional analysis I

Generating function:

$$Z[\boldsymbol{\psi}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}] = \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sum_{\ell,i} \{\psi_i^{\ell} S_i^{\ell} + \hat{\psi}_i^{\ell} \hat{S}_i^{\ell}\}} \right\rangle,\,$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes average w.r.t. $P[\{\mathbf{S}^{\ell}\}; \{\mathbf{\hat{S}}^{\ell}\}].$

• Moments:
$$\langle S_i^{\ell} \hat{S}_j^{\ell'} \rangle = -\lim_{\psi, \hat{\psi} \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_{\psi_i^{\ell}} \partial_{\hat{\psi}_j^{\ell'}}} Z[\psi; \hat{\psi}].$$

► For
$$N \to \infty$$
 the system is self-averaging:
 $\overline{Z[\ldots]} = \int \{ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{P}} \} \mathrm{e}^{N\Psi[\mathbf{P}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}]}, \text{ where } \overline{\cdots} \text{ average over disorder.}$

▶ Order parameter: $P^{\ell}(S, \hat{S}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle \delta_{S_i^{\ell}; S} \delta_{\hat{S}_i^{\ell}; \hat{S}} \rangle|_{S'}}$.

• **Observables**:
$$m(\ell) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle S_i^{\ell} \rangle}, \ \hat{m}(\ell)$$
 and $C(\ell) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle S_i^{\ell} \hat{S}_i^{\ell} \rangle}.$

Generating functional analysis II

Evolution from layer to layer:

$$\begin{split} m(\ell+1) &= (1-2\epsilon) \sum_{\{S_j\}} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[\frac{1+S_j m(\ell)}{2} \right] \langle \alpha(S_1,..,S_k) \rangle_\alpha \\ C(\ell+1) &= (1-2\epsilon) \sum_{\{S_j,\hat{S}_j\} j=1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[\frac{1+S_j m(\ell)+\hat{S}_j \hat{m}(\ell)+S_j \hat{S}_j C(\ell)}{4} \right] \\ &\times \left\langle \alpha(S_1,..,S_k) \alpha(\hat{S}_1,..,\hat{S}_k) \right\rangle_\alpha, \end{split}$$

where $m(0) = \hat{m}(0) = \frac{1}{|S'|} \sum_{S \in S'} S, C(0) = 1.$

- ► Sensitivity: $\Delta(\ell) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \left[1 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle S_i^{\ell} \hat{S}_i^{\ell} \rangle} \right].$
- Error: $\delta(\ell) = \max_{S'} \frac{1}{2} \left[1 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\langle S_i^{\ell} \hat{S}_i^{\ell} \rangle} |_{S'} \right].$

Phase transitions



- MAJ-k gate: $\epsilon^* = 1/2 2^{k-2}/k \binom{k-1}{(k-1)/2}$.
- NAND gate: $\epsilon^* = (3 \sqrt{7})/4$.
- Complicated computational task = significant number of layers ⇒ only relatively simple operations when e > e*.

Boolean functions computed

When $\epsilon=0$ typical formula on layer $\ell\to\infty$ computes:

in MAJ-k circuit

$$F = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} +1 & \text{if } m(0) > 0 \\ \pm 1 & \text{with prob.} \ ^{1}/_{2} & \text{if } m(0) = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } m(0) < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

where
$$m(0) = \frac{1}{|S'|} \sum_{S \in S'}$$
.
in NAND circuit

$$F = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } m(0) < 2 - \sqrt{5} \\ +1 & \text{if } m(0) > 2 - \sqrt{5} \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Sensitivity



mismatch $\Delta(0)=10^{-3}$, 10^{-4} , 10^{-6} (left to right), and for k=5 (+), k=7 (×) with $\Delta(0)=10^{-6}$. Right: Reliability boundaries.

- For $\epsilon = 0$ and m(0) = 0 the MAJ-k circuit is sensitive.
- For ε>0 circuit amplifies noise and δ(L) grows but remains limited for small ε.

Convergence rates and evolution of error



Figure: Left: Number of layers in the noiseless MAJ-k based circuit computing MAJ-n function. Theoretic data-points are represented by symbols + (k=3), \times (k=5) and * (k=7). The straight line corresponds to the bound $k2^{k} \log(n)$, plotted here for k=3 only. Right: Evolution of error in MAJ-5 circuit.

► For $\epsilon = \epsilon^*(k) \pm \Delta \epsilon$ and k large: $|m(\ell) - m(\infty)| \approx e^{-\text{const}\sqrt{k}\Delta \epsilon \ell}$.

▶ ★ 課 ▶ ★ 差 ▶ ★ 差 ▶ … 差

• The error can not be reduced when $\epsilon > \epsilon(0)$.

Ongoing work

- Consider 2ⁿ copies of noisy system with the same random layered toplolgy but for different inputs.
- Probability of a Boolean function on layer $\ell + 1$

$$P^{\ell+1}(\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{\{\mathbf{S}_j\}} \prod_{j=1}^k \left[P^{\ell}(\mathbf{S}_j) \right] \left\langle \prod_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta S(\sigma)\alpha(S_1(\sigma),...,S_k(\sigma))}}{2\cosh\beta\alpha(S_1(\sigma),...,S_k(\sigma))} \right\rangle_{\alpha}$$

where $\mathbf{S} \in \{-1,1\}^{2^n}$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1,..,\sigma_n) \in \{-1,1\}^n$.

- For β → ∞ and single gate α we recover equation used to study Savicky's growth process.
- Furthermore, the same equation is valid for random recurrent topology.

Evolution of Entropy



• Shannon entropy:
$$H^{\ell} = -\sum_{\mathbf{S}} P^{\ell}(\mathbf{S}) \log P^{\ell}(\mathbf{S})$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Summary

- The models of random formulae can be mapped onto a physical framework which allows one to analyze the typical behavior of noisy Boolean random formulae (balanced).
- The typical-case macroscopic behavior observed corresponds straightforwardly to the bounds obtained in the theoretical computer science.
- The framework enables one to explore further properties: the level of error and function-bias expected at any depth, the sensitivity to input perturbations, the convergence rate depending on the input bias.

- ▶ We also studied the effects of hard and threshold noises.
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009; arXiv:0908.3981v1.