Top FB asymmetry at the Tevatron and possible new physics signatures

Pyungwon Ko

Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS)

in collaboration with Dr. J.-S. Lee, Dr. D.W. Jung (and Dr. S.H. Nam)

based on arXiv:0912.1105, PLB 2010.06.040 and arXiv:1011.5976 and work in preparation

< KEK-PH 2011, Mar. 2-5 (2011) >

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

Outline



Effective Lagrangian Approach

- Forward-Backward Asymmetry
- Helicity Amplitude
- Spin-Spin Correlation

Models including new resonances explicitly

- Spin-1 Resonances
- Spin-0 Resonances
- Wilson Coefficients from Resonances
- Examples of Resonances

Longitudinal Pol of (anti)top quark

P violation and Longitudinal Pol's



★ ∃ >

Top pair production via strong interaction

• Tevatron:



• LHC:



Motivation

- Top physics has began to enter a new era after its first discovery, due to the high luminosity achieved at the Tevatron, and precision study will be possible at the LHC in the coming years.
- Forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB} in tt
 t t production has been off
 the SM prediction (~ 0.078(9)) by 2σ in the tt
 t rest frame (CDF2008):

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{FB}}^{t} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{N}_{t}(\cos \theta \geq 0) - \mathcal{N}_{\overline{t}}(\cos \theta \geq 0)}{\mathcal{N}_{t}(\cos \theta \geq 0) + \mathcal{N}_{\overline{t}}(\cos \theta \geq 0)} = 0.24 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.04$$

- This $\sim 2\sigma$ deviation stimulated some speculations on new physics scenarios
- We adopt a model independent approach using effective Lagrangian in order to accommodate the current measurement of A^t_{FB}, since there is no clear evidence for any new particles coupling to top at the Tevatron

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

• New CDF data with 5.6 fb⁻¹ presented this summer

$0.158 \pm 0.072 \pm 0.017$

- Less deviation than before
 - \rightarrow Any new physics scale is probably too high to be explored directly at the Tevatron
- Still interesting to speculate what type of new physics can modify top physics at what level
- In fact, our approach based on the effective lagrangian could be more useful in this case, than other approaches
- Also could be used to set substructure scale of top quark, as in the light quark system

A (10) A (10)

SM contribution to AFB

Series of papers by Kühn and Rodrigo

- LO: top quark production angle is symmetric with respect to beam direction.
- NLO: asymmetry due to interference effects.



< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Related works as of Summer 2010 (Not updated afterwards)

- SM predictions: Kühn and Rodrigo
 - Interference between tree (one gluon exchange) and one-loop (two gluon exchange)
 - (anti)quark-gluon scattering into tt
 g
 - initial (final) gluon emission q ar q o t ar t g
- Axigluon: Godbole et al.; Rodrigo et al.; Frampton, Shu, Wang; Chivukular, Simmons, CP Yuan
- Extra Z': Jung, Murayama, Pierce, Wells
- Extra W': Cheung, Keung, TC Yuan
- RS KK gluon: Djouadi et al.
- Color sextet or antitriplet: Tait et al.; CH Chen et al.; Berger et al.;
- RPV and LR model: Cao, Heng, Wu, Yang
- Comprehensive study: Cao, McKeen, Rosner, Shaughnessy, Wagner
- Effective Lagrangian Approach : this talk
- Apologies to those who are not listed

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Wisdom from EW sector

• The first evidence of asymmetry was found in angular distribution of muons from e^+e^- collisions at PETRA in the 80's ($\sqrt{s} \sim 30$ GeV, well below the Z^0 pole)



 Source of A_{FB} is a term linear in cos θ from interference between γ or Z vector coupling and the axial vector Z coupling.

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Dim-6 Contact Interaction

- $t\bar{t}$ production at the Tevatron dominated by $q\bar{q}$ channel
- Enough to consider dimension-6 four-quark operators assuming new physics scale is high enough:

$$\mathcal{L}_{6} = \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{A,B} \left[C_{1q}^{AB}(\bar{q}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}q_{A})(\bar{t}_{B}\gamma^{\mu}t_{B}) + C_{8q}^{AB}(\bar{q}_{A}T^{a}\gamma_{\mu}q_{A})(\bar{t}_{B}T^{a}\gamma^{\mu}t_{B}) \right]$$

where

 $T^{a} = \lambda^{a}/2, \quad \{A, B\} = \{L, R\}, \quad L, R \equiv (1 \mp \gamma_{5})/2 \quad (q = u, d, s, c, b)$

- Other d=6 operators are all reducible to the above operators after Fierzing (Hill and Parke 1994)
- We ignore flavor changing dim-6 operators such as $\overline{d_R}\gamma^{\mu}s_R\overline{t_R}\gamma_{\mu}t_R$, since those contributions to the $t\overline{t}$ production cross section will be of a order $1/\Lambda^4$

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

Digress on Top Substructure

- Our appraoch is useful even if the *A*_{FB} approaches the SM prediction
- This contact term used to explore light quark substructures
- Similar analysis has been done for light quark and lepton systems using $\bar{q}q\bar{q}q$, $\bar{q}q\bar{l}l$, and $\bar{l}l\bar{l}l$, with various Dirac and color structures
- One can do exactly the same analysis for top compositeness scale
- The scale Λ in our effective lagrangian could be interpreted as Compositemess scale of a top quark seen by a light quark
- Bound on Λ can be derived from $M_{t\bar{t}}$ or p_T^t distributions at the Tevatron

Helicity Amplitude Squared

• The squared helicity amplitude is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{|\mathcal{M}(t_L \overline{t}_L + t_R \overline{t}_R)|^2} &=& \displaystyle \frac{4 \, g_s^4}{9 \, \hat{s}} m_t^2 \left[2 + \displaystyle \frac{\hat{s}}{\Lambda^2} \left(C_1 + C_2 \right) \right] s_{\hat{\theta}}^2 \\ \overline{|\mathcal{M}t_L \overline{t}_R + t_R \overline{t}_L)|^2} &=& \displaystyle \frac{2 \, g_s^4}{9} \left[\left(1 + \displaystyle \frac{\hat{s}}{2\Lambda^2} \left(C_1 + C_2 \right) \right) \left(1 + c_{\hat{\theta}}^2 \right) \right. \\ & & \left. + & \displaystyle \hat{\beta}_t \left(\displaystyle \frac{\hat{s}}{\Lambda^2} \left(C_1 - C_2 \right) \right) c_{\hat{\theta}} \right] \end{array}$$

where

$$C_1 \equiv C_{8q}^{LL} + C_{8q}^{RR}, \quad C_2 \equiv C_{8q}^{LR} + C_{8q}^{RL}$$
$$\hat{\beta}_t^2 = 1 - 4m_t^2/\hat{s}, \quad s_{\hat{\theta}} \equiv \sin\hat{\theta}, \quad c_{\hat{\theta}} \equiv \cos\hat{\theta}$$

• The term linear in $\cos \hat{\theta}$ could generate the foreward-backward asymmetry which is propotional to $\Delta C \equiv C_1 - C_2$.

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

Allowed region in the (C_1, C_2) plane



э

< 6 k

A B F A B F

Validity of our approach

- Our Validity Criteria:
 - $\sigma_{\text{int}} < r\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ (straight line)
 - $\sigma_{\rm NP} < r\sigma_{\rm int}$ (ellipses passing through the origin)
 - $\sigma_{\rm NP} < r^2 \sigma_{\rm SM}$ (ellipses centered at the origin)
- Take *r* = 0.3, *r* = 0.5, and *r* = 1.0
- Our predictions pass these validity criteria even for r = 0.3, and could be considered reliable
- Another Issue: Violation of Unitarity by dim-6 op's Any nonrenormalizable interactions violate "unitarity", which is very subtle issue at hadron colliders, since $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ is not fixed
- Our criteria is hopefully stronger than unitarity constraint

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ー

Validity of our approach

 $\sigma_{\rm NP}$ is obtained using

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\rm NP}}|^2 &= \frac{4g_s^4}{9\hat{s}^2} \frac{\hat{s}^2}{4\Lambda^4} \\ \times & \left\{ \left[9\left((C_{1q}^{LL})^2 + (C_{1q}^{RR})^2 \right) + 2\left((C_{8q}^{LL})^2 + (C_{8q}^{RR})^2 \right) \right] \left(\hat{u} - m_t^2 \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left[9\left((C_{1q}^{RL})^2 + (C_{1q}^{LR})^2 \right) + 2\left((C_{8q}^{RL})^2 + (C_{8q}^{LR})^2 \right) \right] \left(\hat{t} - m_t^2 \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left[9\left((C_{1q}^{LL}C_{1q}^{LR} + C_{1q}^{RR}C_{1q}^{RL} \right) + 2\left((C_{8q}^{LL}C_{8q}^{LR} + C_{8q}^{RR}C_{8q}^{RL} \right) \right] \left(\hat{z} \hat{s} m_t^2 \right) \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

where

Т

$$\hat{u} - m_t^2 = -\hat{s}(1 + \hat{\beta}_t c_{\hat{\theta}})/2, \quad \hat{t} - m_t^2 = -\hat{s}(1 - \hat{\beta}_t c_{\hat{\theta}})/2$$

æ

Validity region



Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

э

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Validity region with the updated data



э

 $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution



э

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Spin-Spin Correlation

• chiral structure of new physics affecting $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ is also sensitive to the top quark spin-spin correlation (in the helicity basis):

$$-\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \frac{\sigma(t_L \overline{t}_L + t_R \overline{t}_R) - \sigma(t_L \overline{t}_R + t_R \overline{t}_L)}{\sigma(t_L \overline{t}_L + t_R \overline{t}_R) + \sigma(t_L \overline{t}_R + t_R \overline{t}_L)}$$

- SM prediction for helicity basis: K = 0.47 (LO) and 0.352 (NLO) [Bernreuther et al., NPB (2004)]
- New CDF data:

$$K = 0.48 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.22$$

- New physics should have chiral couplings both to light quarks and top quark → P must be broken
- Any new observable ?

Longitudinal top quark polarization

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

New Spin-Spin Correlation CFB



э

A b

A B A A B A

Proposing a "NEW" Spin-Spin Correlation

- The usual C is correlated with $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$, and not to $A_{\rm FB}$
- We propose a new spin-spin correlation C_{FB}: Separate the events in forward and backward directions, and form C_{FB}

$$\mathcal{C}_{\textit{FB}}\equiv \mathcal{C}(\cos heta\geq0)-\mathcal{C}(\cos heta\leq0)$$

 $C(\cos \theta \ge 0(\le 0))$ implies the cross sections in the numerator of C are obtained for the forward (backward) region: $\cos \theta \ge 0(\le 0)$

- Advantages of the new C_{FB}:
 - Larger spin-spin correlation
 - Stronger correlation with A_{FB}
- This new *C*_{FB} could be also useful for testing the QCD in the top sector

A (10) A (10)

Spin-Spin Correlation



э

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Spin-1 Resonances

• One can consider the following interactions of quarks with spin-1 flavor-conserving (changing) color-singlet $V_1(\tilde{V}_1)$ and color-octet $V_8^a(\tilde{V}_8^a)$ vectors (A = L, R) relevant to A_{FB}^t :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{V} &= g_{s} V_{1}^{\mu} \sum_{A} \left[g_{1q}^{A}(\bar{q}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}q_{A}) + g_{1t}^{A}(\bar{t}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}t_{A}) \right] \\ &+ g_{s} V_{8}^{a\mu} \sum_{A} \left[g_{8q}^{A}(\bar{q}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}T^{a}q_{A}) + g_{8t}^{A}(\bar{t}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}T^{a}t_{A}) \right] \\ &+ g_{s} \left[\tilde{V}_{1}^{\mu} \sum_{A} \tilde{g}_{1q}^{A}(\bar{t}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}q_{A}) + \tilde{V}_{8}^{a\mu} \sum_{A} \tilde{g}_{8q}^{A}(\bar{t}_{A}\gamma_{\mu}T^{a}q_{A}) + \text{h.c.} \right] \end{split}$$

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ー

Spin-0 Resonances

 Following interactions of quarks with spin-0 flavor-changing color-singlet S₁ and color-octet S₈^a scalars could also contribute to A^t_{FB}:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{S}} = g_{s} \big[\tilde{S}_{1} \sum_{A} \tilde{\eta}_{1q}^{A}(\bar{t}Aq) + \tilde{S}_{8}^{a} \sum_{A} \tilde{\eta}_{8q}^{A}(\bar{t}AT^{a}q) + \text{h.c.} \big]$$

• One can also consider color-triplet S_k^{γ} and color-sextet scalars $S_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ with minimal flavor violating interactions with the SM quarks (Arnold, Pospelov, Trott, Wise):

$$\mathcal{L}_{S} = g_{s} \Big[\frac{\eta_{3}}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{ijk} u^{\alpha}_{iR} u^{\beta}_{jR} S^{\gamma}_{k} + \eta_{6} u^{\alpha}_{iR} u^{\beta}_{jR} S^{\alpha\beta}_{ij} + h.c. \Big]$$

Wilson Coefficients from Resonances

 After integrating out the heavy vectors and scalars, we obtain the Wilson coefficients as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{C_{8q}^{LL}}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{m_V^2} g_{8q}^L g_{8t}^L - \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{V}}^2} \left[2|\tilde{g}_{1q}^L|^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} |\tilde{g}_{8q}^L|^2 \right] \\ \frac{C_{8q}^{RR}}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{m_V^2} g_{8q}^R g_{8t}^R - \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{V}}^2} \left[2|\tilde{g}_{1q}^R|^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} |\tilde{g}_{8q}^R|^2 \right] - \frac{|\eta_3|^2}{m_{S_3}^2} + \frac{2|\eta_6|^2}{m_{S_6}^2} \\ \frac{C_{8q}^{LR}}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{m_V^2} g_{8q}^L g_{8t}^R - \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{S}}^2} \left[|\tilde{\eta}_{1q}^L|^2 - \frac{1}{2N_c} |\tilde{\eta}_{8q}^L|^2 \right] \\ \frac{C_{8q}^{RL}}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{m_V^2} g_{8q}^R g_{8t}^R - \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{S}}^2} \left[|\tilde{\eta}_{1q}^R|^2 - \frac{1}{2N_c} |\tilde{\eta}_{8q}^R|^2 \right] \end{split}$$

Examples of Resonances

- Axigluon model corresponding to flavor universal chiral couplings (Pati and Salam 1975): $g_{8q}^L = g_{8t}^L = -g_{8q}^R = -g_{8t}^R = 1$
- New gauge boson Z' with dominant coupling to u t (Jung, Murayama, Pierce, and Wells 2009): $V_1 = \tilde{V}_1 = Z', \quad g_s \tilde{g}_{1a}^R = g_X, \quad g_s g_{1g}^R = g_X \epsilon_U \quad (|\epsilon_U| \lesssim 1)$
- New charged gauge boson W'^{\pm} contributions (Cheung, Keung, and Yuan 2009): $\tilde{V} = W'$, $g_s \tilde{g}_{1g}^A = g' g_A$
- Some RS scenarios with large flavor mixing in the right-handed quark sector (Aquino et al 2007; Agashe et al 2008): $g_{8q}^L = g_{8q}^R = g_{8b}^R \simeq -0.2, \quad g_{8t}^L = g_{8b}^L \simeq (1 \sim 2.8)$ $g_{8t}^R \simeq (1.5 \sim 5), \quad \tilde{g}_{8g}^L \simeq V_{tq}, \quad \tilde{g}_{8g}^R \simeq 1$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

Scores for each model

New particle	couplings	<i>C</i> ₁	<i>C</i> ₂	1 σ favor
V ₈ (spin-1 FC octet)	$g^{L,R}_{8q,8t}$	indefinite	indefinite	\checkmark
\tilde{V}_1 (spin-1 FV singlet)	$ ilde{g}^{L,R}_{1q}$	_	0	×
\tilde{V}_8 (spin-1 FV octet)	$ ilde{g}^{L,R}_{8q}$	+	0	\checkmark
$ ilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ (spin-0 FV singlet)	$ ilde{\eta}_{1q}^{L,R}$	0	_	\checkmark
$ ilde{S}_8$ (spin-0 FV octet)	$ ilde{\eta}^{L,R}_{8q}$	0	+	×
S^lpha_3 (spin-0 FV triplet)	η_3	_	0	×
$S_6^{lphaeta}$ (spin-0 FV sextet)	η_6	+	0	\checkmark

æ

ヘロン 人間 とくほどうほど

1- σ favored region for V_8



Constraints on masses and couplings

• 1- σ favored values of the couplings Updated data:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{V}_8 &: \quad \frac{1}{N_c} \left(\frac{1\,\text{TeV}}{m_{\tilde{V}}}\right)^2 \left(|\tilde{g}_{8q}^L|^2 + |\tilde{g}_{8q}^R|^2\right) \simeq 0.76(0.64)\,,\\ \tilde{S}_1 &: \quad \left(\frac{1\,\text{TeV}}{m_{\tilde{S}}}\right)^2 \left(|\tilde{\eta}_{1q}^L|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}_{1q}^R|^2\right) \simeq 0.62(0.49)\,,\\ S_{13}^{\alpha\beta} &: \quad 2\left(\frac{1\,\text{TeV}}{m_{S_6}}\right)^2 |\eta_6|^2 \simeq 0.76(0.64)\,\end{split}$$

These could be discovered and tested at the LHC, by measuring the mass and the couplings

A_{FB} implies P violation

- If P were conserved in the light quark sector, $C_{8q}^{LL} = C_{8q}^{RL}$, and $C_{8q}^{LR} = C_{8q}^{RR}$
- If P were conserved in the top sector, $C_{8a}^{LL} = C_{8a}^{LR}$ and $C_{8a}^{RR} = C_{8a}^{RL}$
- In either case, we would have $C_1 C_2 = 0$, and no effects on $A_{\rm FB}$
- Most important message of nonzero A_{FB} from new physics is Parity should be vilolated in the quark sector
- What would be the observable consequences of this new PV interaction ?
- In the top sector, the top longitudinal polarization can be nonzero in general, unlike QCD

$$\langle \vec{S_t} \cdot \hat{n} \rangle \neq 0$$

• If $\hat{n} \propto \vec{p}$, it becomes helicity

伺い イヨト イヨト

P violation and Longitudinal Pol's

Keeping only the longitudinal pol's of (anti)top, we have

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} = \frac{g_s^4}{\hat{s}^2} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_1(P_L + \bar{P}_L) + \mathcal{D}_2(P_L - \bar{P}_L) + \mathcal{D}_3 P_L \bar{P}_L \right\}$$

- D_1 and D_2 are P-violating.
- D₁ term needs strong phase (CPT violating), from the propagator of the exchanged new particle, which is not seen in our approach (Future work)

$$\mathcal{D}_{2} \simeq \frac{\hat{s}}{9\Lambda^{2}} \left[(C_{1}' + C_{2}')\hat{\beta}_{t}(1 + c_{\hat{\theta}}^{2}) + (C_{1}' - C_{2}')(5 - 3\hat{\beta}_{t}^{2})c_{\hat{\theta}} \right]$$

with

$$egin{array}{rcl} C_1' &\equiv & C_{8q}^{RR} - C_{8q}^{LL}\,, \ C_2' &\equiv & C_{8q}^{LR} - C_{8q}^{RL}\,. \end{array}$$

Different informations on the chiral structures of NP from A_{FB}

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

• When we integrate over the polar angle $\hat{\theta}$, only the first term involving

$$(C_1'+C_2')=C_{8q}^{RR}-C_{8q}^{LL}+C_{8q}^{LR}-C_{8q}^{RL}$$

survives.

• On the other hand, if we separate the forward and the backward top samples and take the difference, the orthogonal combination in the second term survives:

$$(C_1' - C_2') = C_{8q}^{RR} - C_{8q}^{LL} - C_{8q}^{LR} + C_{8q}^{RL}$$

Consider the two new observables:

$$D \equiv \frac{\sigma(t_R \bar{t}_L) - \sigma(t_L \bar{t}_R)}{\sigma(t_R \bar{t}_R) + \sigma(t_L \bar{t}_L) + \sigma(t_L \bar{t}_R) + \sigma(t_R \bar{t}_L)},$$

$$D_{\text{FB}} \equiv D(\cos \hat{\theta} \ge 0) - D(\cos \hat{\theta} \le 0)$$

which involve the sum and difference of the coefficients C'_1 and C'_2 , respectively.

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

(*) * (*) * (*)



Figure: The *P*-violating spin correlations *D* and D_{FB} in the (C'_1, C'_2) plane. The signs of (D, D_{FB}) are denoted.

æ

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- The polarization coefficients could be measured by studying the angular distributions of the top-quark decay products.
- For dilepton decay channels of $t\bar{t}$ (in the helicity basis), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} &= \frac{g_s^4}{\hat{s}^2} \Biggl\{ \mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_1(\cos\theta_+^* + \cos\theta_-^*) \\ &+ \mathcal{D}_2(\cos\theta_+^* - \cos\theta_-^*) + \mathcal{D}_3\cos\theta_+^*\cos\theta_-^* \Biggr\} \end{aligned}$$

where θ^*_+ (θ^*_-) is the angle between the charged lepton I^+ (I^-) in the top (anti-top) rest frame and the direction of the top (anti-top) in the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame.

• M_{T2} could be helpful for this study (Work in progress)

直 とう きょう く きょう

[t] New particle exchanges and the signs of induced couplings C^{AB} (A, B = R, L), $C_1 - C_2$, $C'_1 + C'_2$, and $C'_1 - C'_2$.

Res.	C ^{RR}	C ^{LL}	C^{LR}	C ^{RL}	$C_{1} - C_{2}$	$C_{1}^{'}+C_{2}^{'}$	$C_{1}^{'}-C_{2}^{'}$	
Ũ√1 <i>R</i>	_	0	0	0	_	_	_	
\tilde{V}_{1L}	0	_	0	0	-	+	+	
Ũ ₈ ₽	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	
$ ilde{V}_{8L}$	0	+	0	0	+	—	—	
Ĩ ₁ ₽	0	0	0	_	+	+	_	
$ ilde{S}_{1L}$	0	0	_	0	+	_	+	
$ ilde{S}_{8R}$	0	0	0	+	-	_	+	
$ ilde{S}_{8L}$	0	0	+	0	-	+	—	
S_2^{lpha}	—	0	0	0	_	_	_	
$S^{lphaeta}_{ extsf{13}}$	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	
V _{8R}	±	0	0	0	±	≻ ∢∂± ∢ ≣ ≻	, ≞,± ≞ ,∢	Q.√(
Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)			A _{FB} of top quark production			34 / 38		



Figure: The predictions for *D* and D_{FB} of the models under consideration, being consistent with the $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ and A_{FB} measurements at the 1- σ level. We assume only one resonance exists or dominates.

Summary

- We performed a model independent study of $t\bar{t}$ productions at the Tevatron using dimension-6 $q\bar{q}t\bar{t}$ contact interactions with all the possible Dirac and color structures.
- Our results encode the necessary conditions for the underlying new physics in a compact and an effective way when those new particles are too heavy to be produced at the Tevatron.
- Proposed a new FB spin-spin correlation $C_{\rm FB} \propto A_{\rm FB}$
- Suggested to measure P_L and $\overline{P_L}$ to probe the chiral structure of new physics in $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$
- We considered the s-, t- and u-channel exchanges of spin-0 and spin-1 particles whose color quantum number is either singlet, octet, triplet or sextet.

Future Study

- $A_{\rm FB}$ vs $M_{t\bar{t}}$ or $\Delta\eta$
- Detailed study of resonance productions at the LHC (Resonances in the *tt* or *tq* channel)
- Top polarization in general (transverse, longitudinal) at the Tevatron and at the LHC
- In the presence of resonance, there could be nonzero $P_L + \overline{P_L} \rightarrow$ Need more study the D_1 term
- Those new particles might leave imprints on the low energy flavor physics such as K or B physics (mixing and CP violation), if u(d) t transitions are employed

Thank you very much

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト